



---

## Oracle Linux 7.6 Security Target

May 27, 2021

v3.9

Prepared By:

Acumen Security

2400 Research Blvd Suite 395

Rockville, MD, 20850

[www.acumensecurity.net](http://www.acumensecurity.net)

Prepared for:

Oracle Corporation

500 Oracle Parkway

Redwood Shores, CA 94065

USA

Copyright © 2019 by Oracle and Acumen Security

## **Trademarks**

Oracle Linux and the Oracle logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of Oracle Corporation in the United States, other countries, or both.

Linux is a registered trademark of Linus Torvalds.

UNIX is a registered trademark of The Open Group in the United States and other countries.

Intel, Xeon, and Pentium are trademarks of Intel Corporation in the United States, other countries, or both.

## **Legal Notice**

**This document is provided AS IS with no express or implied warranties. Use the information in this document at your own risk.**

**This document may be reproduced or distributed in any form without prior permission provided the copyright notice is retained on all copies. Modified versions of this document may be freely distributed provided that they are clearly identified as such, and this copyright is included intact.**

## Table Of Contents

---

1	Security Target Introduction .....	7
1.1	Security Target and TOE Reference .....	7
1.2	TOE Overview.....	7
1.2.1	TOE Product Type .....	7
1.3	TOE Architecture .....	7
1.3.1	Physical Boundaries.....	7
1.3.2	Logical Scope of the TOE .....	8
1.4	Excluded Functionality .....	13
1.5	TOE Documentation.....	14
1.6	Other References .....	14
2	Conformance Claims .....	15
2.1	CC Conformance .....	15
2.2	Protection Profile Conformance .....	15
2.3	Conformance Rationale .....	15
2.3.1	Technical Decisions .....	15
3	Security Problem Definition .....	17
3.1	Threats .....	17
3.2	Assumptions.....	17
3.3	Organizational Security Policies .....	18
4	Security Objectives.....	19
4.1	Security Objectives for the TOE .....	19
4.2	Security Objectives for the Operational Environment.....	19
4.3	Rationale for Security Objectives.....	20
5	Extended Security Functional Components.....	22
5.1	Extended Security Functional Components Rationale.....	22
6	Security Requirements.....	23
6.1	Conventions .....	23
6.2	Security Functional requirements.....	24
6.2.1	Security Audit (FAU) .....	24
6.2.2	Cryptographic Support (FCS) .....	24
6.2.3	User Data Protection (FDP) .....	28
6.2.4	Identification and Authentication (FIA).....	28
6.2.5	Security Management (FMT).....	30

6.2.6	Protection of the TSF (FPT).....	31
6.2.7	Trusted path/channels (FTP) .....	32
6.3	TOE SFR Dependencies Rationale for SFRs .....	33
6.4	Security Assurance Requirements .....	33
6.5	Rationale for Security Assurance Requirements .....	33
6.6	Assurance Measures .....	34
7	TOE Summary Specification .....	36
8	Annex A: References .....	59
9	Annex B - Extended Security Functional Components.....	60
9.1	Cryptographic Support (FCS).....	60
9.1.1	FCS_CKM_EXT.4 Cryptographic Key Destruction .....	60
9.1.2	FCS_RBG_EXT.1 Random Bit Generation .....	61
9.1.3	FCS_STO_EXT.1 Storage of Sensitive Data .....	61
9.1.4	FCS_TLSC_EXT.1 TLS Client Protocol .....	62
9.1.5	FCS_TLSC_EXT.2 TLS Client Protocol .....	62
9.1.6	FCS_SSH_EXT.1 SSH Protocol .....	62
9.1.7	FCS_SSHC_EXT.1 SSH Protocol - Client.....	62
9.1.8	FCS_SSHS_EXT.1 SSH Protocol - Server .....	63
9.2	User Data Protection (FDP).....	64
9.2.1	FDP_IFC_EXT.1 Information flow control.....	64
9.2.2	FDP_ACF_EXT.1 Access Controls for Protecting User Data .....	64
9.3	Identification and Authentication (FIA) .....	64
9.3.1	FIA_X509_EXT.1 X.509 Certificate Validation.....	64
9.3.2	FIA_X509_EXT.2 X.509 Certificate Authentication.....	65
9.4	Security Management (FMT) .....	65
9.4.1	FMT_MOF_EXT.1 Management of security functions behavior .....	65
9.4.2	FMT_SMF_EXT.1 Specification of Management Functions.....	65
9.5	Protection of the TSF (FPT) .....	66
9.5.1	FPT_ACF_EXT.1 Access controls .....	66
9.5.2	FPT_AS LR_EXT.1 Address Space Layout Randomization.....	67
9.5.3	FPT_SBOP_EXT.1 Stack Buffer Overflow Protection .....	67
9.6	FPT_TST_EXT.1 Boot Integrity.....	67
9.6.1	FPT_TUD_EXT.1 Trusted Update .....	68
9.6.2	FPT_TUD_EXT.2 Trusted Update for Application Software.....	68
9.7	Trusted Path/Channels (FTP) .....	68

9.7.1 FTP_ITC_EXT.1 Trusted channel communication.....	68
--	----

### Revision History

Version	Date	Description
0.1	1/15/2019	Initial Draft
0.2	1/21/2019	Updated Sections 1.1-1.3, 2-5
0.3	1/22/2019	Updated as part of GPOS ST template and completed Section 5.
0.4	1/31/2019	Updating TSS sections
0.5	2/8/2019	Updating TSS sections
0.6	2/11/2019	Initial draft completion
0.7	3/5/2019	Minor updates to queries
0.8	3/25/2019	Updates based on Oracle review of ST
0.9	3/29/2019	Minor updates based on QA review of ST
1.0	4/1/2019	Minor updates based on Oracle feedback
1.1	4/30/2019	Addressing ASE evaluation observations
1.2	5/2/2019	Updates to TSS sections
1.3	5/14/2019	Updates to TSS based on Oracle response
1.4	6/5/2019	Minor updates based on evaluator OR
1.5	6/17/2019	Updates to ALU_TSU_EXT.1 TSS requirements
1.6	7/8/2019	Updated FPT_TUD_EXT.1.2 based on TD0386
1.7	7/17/2019	Updates made based on evaluator findings when populating AAR.
1.8	8/22/2019	Updating Section 2.3.1 with TD0441.
1.9	9/4/2019	Addressing Certification body observations
2.0	9/5/2019	Updates to Annex B.
2.1	11/06/2019	Updates based on testing findings
2.2	11/14/2019	Updated TD
2.3	2/13/2020	Updated TD
2.4	6/8/2020	Addressing Certifier comments
2.5	7/9/2020	Updated TD
2.6	8/31/2020	Minor updates to SFRs
2.7	9/1/2020	Minor updates to SFRs
2.8	9/10/2020	Updated TD and Section 1.4.
2.9	10/22/2020	Minor updates to ST
3.0	10/29/2020	Updates to FPT_SBOP_EXT.1 TSS write-up
3.1	11/18/2020	Updated TOE identifier
3.2	11/23/2020	Addressing comments
3.3	12/7/2020	Addressing OR
3.4	1/5/2020	Minor updates to FCS_CKM_EXT.4 SFR
3.5	1/22/2021	Minor updates FCS_CKM_EXT.4 TSS
3.6	3/8/2021	Vendor affirmation added to Sections 1.3.2 and 7.
3.7	3/24/2021	Updated based on certifier comments.
3.8	5/17/2021	Updated algorithm certificates.
3.9	5/27/2021	Updated AGD version

# 1 Security Target Introduction

## 1.1 Security Target and TOE Reference

This section provides information needed to identify and control this ST and its TOE.

Category	Identifier
ST Title	Oracle Linux 7.6 Security Target
ST Version	3.9
ST Date	May 27, 2021
ST Author	Acumen Security, LLC.
TOE Identifier	Oracle Linux 7.6 + kernel-uek-4.14.35-2025.401.4.el7uek + NetworkManager 1.18.8-1.el7 + NetworkManager-config-server 1.18.8-1.el7 + systemd 219-78.0.1.el7 + sudo 1.8.23-10.el7 + microcode_ctl 2.1-73.0.1.el7 + libpng 1.5.13-8.el7 + grub2 2.02-0.87.0.3.el7 + vim-minimal 7.4.629-7.0.1.el7 + nss 3.35.1-6.0.1.el7_9 + glib2 2.56.1-7.el7 + expat 2.1.0-12.el7 + curl 7.29.0-59.0.1.el7_9.1 + bind-libs-lite 9.11.4-26.P2.el7_9.2 + cpio 2.11-28.el7 + dbus 1.10.24-15.0.1.el7 + e2fsprogs 1.42.9-19.el7 + freetype 2.8-14.el7_9.1 + libcroco 0.6.12-6.el7_9 + openldap 2.4.44-22.el7 + polkit 0.122-26.0.1.el7 + python 2.7.5-90.0.1.el7 + sqlite 3.7.17-8.el7_7.1 + openssl 1.0.2k-21.el7_9
TOE Software Version	7.6
TOE Developer	Oracle Corporation
Key Words	Operating System, Oracle, Linux 7.6

Table 1 TOE/ST Identification

## 1.2 TOE Overview

The Oracle Linux 7.6 + kernel-uek-4.14.35-2025.401.4.el7uek + NetworkManager 1.18.8-1.el7 + NetworkManager-config-server 1.18.8-1.el7 + systemd 219-78.0.1.el7 + sudo 1.8.23-10.el7 + microcode\_ctl 2.1-73.0.1.el7 + libpng 1.5.13-8.el7 + grub2 2.02-0.87.0.3.el7 + vim-minimal 7.4.629-7.0.1.el7 + nss 3.35.1-6.0.1.el7\_9 + glib2 2.56.1-7.el7 + expat 2.1.0-12.el7 + curl 7.29.0-59.0.1.el7\_9.1 + bind-libs-lite 9.11.4-26.P2.el7\_9.2 + cpio 2.11-28.el7 + dbus 1.10.24-15.0.1.el7 + e2fsprogs 1.42.9-19.el7 + freetype 2.8-14.el7\_9.1 + libcroco 0.6.12-6.el7\_9 + openldap 2.4.44-22.el7 + polkit 0.122-26.0.1.el7 + python 2.7.5-90.0.1.el7 + sqlite 3.7.17-8.el7\_7.1 + openssl 1.0.2k-21.el7\_9 (herein referred to as the TOE) is a Linux-based operating system. Oracle Linux is a general purpose, multi-user, multi-tasking Linux based operating system. It provides a platform for a variety of applications. In addition, virtual machines provide an execution environment for many different operating systems.

### 1.2.1 TOE Product Type

The TOE type is a Linux-based general-purpose operating system. It satisfies all of the criterion to meet the Protection Profile for General Purpose Operating Systems Version 4.2.1 [OS PP v4.2.1].

## 1.3 TOE Architecture

### 1.3.1 Physical Boundaries

The evaluated configuration includes the general - purpose hardware with the following processors:

- X86 64-bit Intel Platform with Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4114 processor
- EPYC 7551 platform with AMD processor
- KVM (kernel based virtual machine) platform

The Target of Evaluation is based on the following system software:

- Oracle Linux 7.6

NOTE: The Oracle UEK version 5 is being evaluated.

The TOE and its documentation are supplied on ISO images distributed via the Oracle Linux web site.

In addition to the installation media, the following documentation is provided:

- Evaluated Configuration Guide published by Oracle at the end of the evaluation
- Manual pages for all applications, configuration files and system calls

### 1.3.2 Logical Scope of the TOE

The TOE implements the following security functional requirements from [GPOSPP] and [SSHEP] as listed below:

#### 1.3.2.1 Audit Data Generation (FAU)

The TOE generates audit events for all start-up and shut-down functions, and all auditable events as specified in Table 5. The TOE leverages the Lightweight Audit Framework (LAF) audit system. Audit events are generated for the following audit functions:

- Start-up and shut-down of the audit functions;
- Authentication events (Success/Failure);
- Use of privileged/special rights events (Successful and unsuccessful security, audit, and configuration changes)
- Privilege or role escalation events (Success/Failure)

Each audit record contains the date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity (if applicable), and outcome (success or failure) of the event.

#### 1.3.2.2 Cryptographic Support (FCS)

The TOE provides cryptographic support for the services described in Table 3. The TOE leverages the Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AESNI, SHA1 AVX, SHA2 ASM cryptographic library for SSHv2 and TLS v1.2 related cryptographic operations. The related CAVP validation details are provided in Table 4.

The TOE provides support for disk encryption and includes AES CBC and AES XTS with key sizes of 128 and 256 bits along with SHA1, SHA-256, SHA-384, and SHA-512. The related CAVP validation details are provided in Table 3.

The cryptographic services provided by the TOE are described below.

Cryptographic Method	Usage
FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic Key Generation (Refined)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Cryptographic key generation conforming to FIPS PUB 186-4 Digital Signature Standard (DSS), Appendix B.3.</li><li>• RSA Key sizes supported are 2048 bits, 3072 and 4096 bits</li></ul>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cryptographic key generation conforming to FIPS PUB 186-4, "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", Appendix B.1.</li> <li>• FFC scheme using cryptographic key sizes of 2048 bits or greater.</li> <li>• FFC Schemes using Diffie-Hellman group 14 that meet the following: RFC 3526.</li> </ul>
FCS_CKM.2 Cryptographic Key Establishment (Refined)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RSA-based key establishment conforming to RSAES-PKCS1-v1_5 as specified in Section 7.2 of RFC 8017, "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.2.</li> <li>• Finite field-based key establishment conforming to NIST Special Publication 800-56A Revision 3, "Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography.</li> <li>• Key establishment scheme using Diffie-Hellman group 14 that meets the following: RFC 3526.</li> </ul>
FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic Key Destruction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For volatile memory, the destruction shall be executed by a single overwrite consisting of zeroes.</li> <li>• For non-volatile memory, destruction consists of the invocation of an interface provided by the underlying platform that instructs the underlying platform to destroy the abstraction that represents the key .</li> </ul>
FCS_COP.1(1) Cryptographic Operation - Encryption/Decryption (Refined)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AES-XTS (as defined in NIST SP 800-38E)</li> <li>• AES-CBC (as defined in NIST SP 800-38A)</li> <li>• AES-GCM (as defined in NIST SP 800-38D)</li> <li>• AES key sizes supported are 128 bits and 256 bits</li> </ul>
FCS_COP.1(1)/SSH	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AES-CTR (as defined in NIST SP 800-38A)</li> <li>• AES key sizes supported are 128 bits and 256 bits</li> </ul>
FCS_COP.1(2) Cryptographic Operation - Hashing (Refined)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cryptographic hashing services conforming to FIPS Pub 180-4.</li> <li>• Hashing algorithms supported are: SHA-1, SHA-256, SHA-384 and SHA-512.</li> <li>• Message digest sizes supported are 160 bits, 256 bits, 384 bits and 512 bits.</li> </ul>
FCS_COP.1(3) Cryptographic Operation - Signing (Refined)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RSA digital signature algorithm conforming to FIPS PUB 186-4, "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", Section 4.</li> <li>• RSA key sizes supported are: 2048, 3072 and 4096 bits.</li> </ul>
FCS_COP.1(4) Cryptographic Operation - Keyed-Hash Message Authentication (Refined)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Keyed-hash message authentication services in conforming to FIPS Pub 198-1 The Keyed-Hash</li> </ul>

	<p>Message Authentication Code and FIPS Pub 180-4 Secure Hash Standard.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Keyed hash algorithm authentication services in accordance with the following specified cryptographic algorithms: SHA-1, SHA-256, SHA-384 and SHA-512.</li> <li>Key sizes supported are: 112 bits.</li> <li>Message digest sizes supported are: 160 bits, 256 bits, 384 bits and 512 bits.</li> </ul>
FCS_RBG_EXT.1 Random Bit Generation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Random number generation conforming to NIST Special Publication 800-90A.</li> <li>The TOE leverages CTR_DRBG(AES), Hash_DRBG (any), and HMAC_DRBG (any)</li> <li>The deterministic RBG used by the OS is seeded by an entropy source that accumulates entropy from a platform-based noise source with a minimum of 256 bits of entropy at least equal to the greatest security strength (according to NIST SP 800-57) of the keys and hashes that it will generate.</li> </ul>
FCS_STO_EXT.1 Storage of Sensitive Data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The OS implements functionality to encrypt sensitive data stored in non-volatile storage and provides interfaces to applications to invoke the functionality.</li> </ul>
FCS_SSH_EXT.1 SSH Protocol	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSH protocol that complies with RFCs 4251, 4252, 4253, 4254 and 6668 as a client and server.</li> </ul>
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1 SSH Protocol - Client FCS_SSHS_EXT.1 SSH Protocol - Server	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The TOE supports password-based authentication and public key authentication.</li> <li>The following public key algorithm is supported: ssh-rsa.</li> <li>The SSH client shall ensure that, as described in RFC 4253, packets greater than 262144 bytes in an SSH transport connection are dropped.</li> <li>The TOE supports the following encryption algorithms: aes128-ctr, aes256-ctr, aes128-cbc, aes256-cbc</li> <li>The TOE supports the following data integrity MAC algorithms: hmac-sha1, hmac-sha2-256, and hmac-sha2-512.</li> <li>The TOE supports the following key exchange algorithm: diffie-hellman-group14-sha1.</li> <li>The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH connection be rekeyed after no more than <math>2^{28}</math> packets have been transmitted] using that key.</li> </ul>
FCS_TLSC_EXT.1 TLS Client Protocol	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The TOE supports TLS v1.2 protocol</li> <li>Supports the following cipher suites in the evaluated configuration:</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA as defined in RFC 5246</li> <li>• TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246</li> <li>• TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246</li> </ul>
--	---

**Table 2 TOE Cryptographic Protocols**

Each of these cryptographic algorithms have been validated for conformance to the requirements specified in their respective standards, as identified below.

Algorithm	Standard	Implementation library	CAVP Certificate #	Processor
AES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AES-XTS (as defined in NIST SP 800-38E)</li> <li>• AES-CBC (as defined in NIST SP 800-38A)</li> <li>• AES-GCM (as defined in NIST SP 800-38D)</li> <li>• AES-CTR (as defined in NIST SP 800-38A)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AESNI, SHA1 AVX, SHA2 ASM</li> </ul>	A1400	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4114</li> <li>• AMD EPYC 7551</li> </ul>
RSA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FIPS PUB 186-4 Digital Signature Standard (DSS), Appendix B.3.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AESNI, SHA1 AVX, SHA2 ASM</li> </ul>	A1400	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4114</li> <li>• AMD EPYC 7551</li> </ul>
DSA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FIPS PUB 186-4, "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", Appendix B.1</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AESNI, SHA1 AVX, SHA2 ASM</li> </ul>	A1400	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4114</li> <li>• AMD EPYC 7551</li> </ul>
DH		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AESNI, SHA1 AVX, SHA2 ASM</li> </ul>	A1400	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4114</li> <li>• AMD EPYC 7551</li> </ul>
KAS/CVL FCC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NIST Special Publication 800-56A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AESNI, SHA1 AVX, SHA2 ASM</li> </ul>	A1400	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4114</li> <li>• AMD EPYC 7551</li> </ul>
HMAC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Keyed-hash message authentication services in</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AESNI,</li> </ul>	A1400, A1401,	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intel(R) Xeon(R)</li> </ul>

Algorithm	Standard	Implementation library	CAVP Certificate #	Processor
	conforming to FIPS Pub 198-1 The Keyed-Hash Message Authentication Code and FIPS Pub 180-4 Secure Hash Standard	SHA1 AVX, SHA2 ASM <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL VPAES and SHA1 SSSE3</li> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AES and SHA1 assembler</li> </ul>	and A1402	Silver 4114 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AMD EPYC 7551</li> </ul>
SHS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NIST FIPS Pub 180-4.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AESNI, SHA1 AVX, SHA2 ASM</li> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL VPAES and SHA1 SSSE3</li> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AES and SHA1 assembler</li> </ul>	A1400, A1401, and A1402	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4114</li> <li>• AMD EPYC 7551</li> </ul>
DRBG	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Random number generation conforming to NIST Special Publication 800-90A.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AESNI, SHA1 AVX, SHA2 ASM</li> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL VPAES and SHA1 SSSE3</li> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AES and SHA1 assembler</li> </ul>	A1400, A1401, and A1402	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4114</li> <li>• AMD EPYC 7551</li> </ul>
CVL SSH v2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KDF 800-135</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AESNI, SHA1 AVX, SHA2 ASM</li> </ul>	A1400	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4114</li> <li>• AMD EPYC 7551</li> </ul>
CVL TLS v1.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• KDF 800-135</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oracle Linux 7.6 OpenSSL with AESNI, SHA1 AVX, SHA2 ASM</li> </ul>	A1400	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4114</li> <li>• AMD EPYC</li> </ul>

Algorithm	Standard	Implementation library	CAVP Certificate #	Processor
				7551

**Table 3 CAVP Algorithm Testing References**

### 1.3.2.3 User Data Protection (FDP)

The TOE implements access controls which prevents unprivileged users from accessing files and directories owned by other users. The TOE provides an interface which allows VPN client to protect all IP traffic using IPSEC protocol.

### 1.3.2.4 Identification and Authentication (FIA)

All users must be authenticated to the TOE prior to carrying out any management actions. The TOE supports password-based authentication and public key based authentication. The OS disables user accounts after a configurable number of unsuccessful authentication attempts.

### 1.3.2.5 Security Management (FMT)

The TOE is capable of performing management functions. The administrator has full access to carry-out all management functions and the user has limited privilege.

### 1.3.2.6 Protection of the TSF (FPT)

The TOE implements the following protection of TSF data:

- Access Controls
- Address Space Layout Randomization
- Stack buffer overflow protection using stack canaries.
- Verification of integrity of the bootchain
- Trusted software updates

### 1.3.2.7 Trusted Path/Channels

The TOE supports TLS v1.2 and SSH v2 for trusted channel implementation. The TOE supports remote CLI using SSH v2 for secure remote administration.

## 1.4 Excluded Functionality

The following interfaces are not included as part of the evaluated configuration:

Functions	Exclusion discussion
GUI	A graphical user interface for system administration or any other operation is not included in the evaluated configuration.
eCryptFS	eCryptFS are not allowed to be used in the evaluated configuration. The encryption capability provided with this file system is therefore unavailable to any user.

Functions	Exclusion discussion
GUI	A graphical user interface for system administration or any other operation is not included in the evaluated configuration.
LSM Support	The mandatory access control functionality offered by the Linux Security Module (LSM) framework found in the Linux kernel is not assessed by the evaluation and disabled in the evaluated configuration. All LSM modules such as SELinux, AppArmor, SMACK and others are not assessed as part of the evaluation. The evaluated configuration enables aspects of the LSM though.
GSS-API Security Mechanisms	The GSS-API is used to secure the connection between different audit daemons. The security mechanisms used by the GSS-API, however, is not part of the evaluation.
ECC certificates	ECC certificates are not to be used as part of the evaluated configuration.

**Table 4 Excluded Functionality**

## 1.5 TOE Documentation

The following documents are available in PDF formats.

Documentation	File Format	Date
Oracle Linux 7.6 Common Criteria Guidance Document v1.7	PDF	May 27, 2021
Oracle Linux 7 Administrator's Guide - E54669-78	PDF (available on Oracle website)	October, 2020
Oracle Linux 7 Installation Guide - E54695-26	PDF (available on Oracle website)	October 2020
Oracle Linux 7 Security Guide - E54670-27	PDF (available on Oracle website)	December 2020
Oracle Linux 7.6	ISO	June 13, 2019

**Table 5 TOE Documentation**

## 1.6 Other References

- Protection Profile for General Purpose Operating Systems, Version 4.2.1 [GPOSPP]
- Extended Package for Secure Shell (SSH), Version 1.0 [SSHEP]

## 2 Conformance Claims

### 2.1 CC Conformance

This TOE is conformant to:

- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluations Part 1, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluations Part 2, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017: Part 2 extended
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluations Part 2, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017: Part 3 extended

### 2.2 Protection Profile Conformance

This TOE is conformant to:

- Protection Profile for General Purpose Operating Systems, Version 4.2.1 [GPOSPP]
- Extended Package for Secure Shell (SSH), Version 1.0 [SSHEP]

### 2.3 Conformance Rationale

This Security Target provides exact conformance to [GPOSPP] and [SSHEP]. The security problem definition, security objectives and security requirements in this Security Target are all taken from the Protection Profile performing only operations defined there.

#### 2.3.1 Technical Decisions

All NIAP Technical Decisions (TDs) issued to date that are applicable to [GPOSPP] and [SSHEP] have been addressed. The following table identifies all applicable TDs:

Identifier	Applicable	Exclusion Rationale (if applicable)
TD0578: SHA-1 is no longer mandatory	Yes	
TD0525- Updates to Certificate Revocation (FIA_X509_EXT.1)	No	Administrators are directed to not use ECC certificates in the evaluated configuration.
TD0501 – Cryptographic selections and updates for OS PP	Yes	
TD0496 – GPOS PP adds allow-with statement for VPN Client V2.1	No	PP-Module for VPN client is not in scope.
TD0493 – X.509v3 certificates when using digital signatures for Boot Integrity	Yes	
TD0463 - Clarification for FPT_TUD_EXT	Yes	
TD0441 - Updated TLS Ciphersuites for OS PP	No	The following cipher suites are not being claimed:  FCS_TLSC_EXT.1.1 in the OS PP omits the TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA, TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256, and TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256 ciphersuites.
TD0386 – Platform-Provided Verification of Update	Yes	

Identifier	Applicable	Exclusion Rationale (if applicable)
TD0578: SHA-1 is no longer mandatory	Yes	
TD0525- Updates to Certificate Revocation (FIA_X509_EXT.1)	No	Administrators are directed to not use ECC certificates in the evaluated configuration.
TD0501 – Cryptographic selections and updates for OS PP	Yes	
TD0496 – GPOS PP adds allow-with statement for VPN Client V2.1	No	PP-Module for VPN client is not in scope.
TD0493 – X.509v3 certificates when using digital signatures for Boot Integrity	Yes	
TD0463 - Clarification for FPT_TUD_EXT	Yes	
TD0441 - Updated TLS Ciphersuites for OS PP	No	The following cipher suites are not being claimed:  FCS_TLSC_EXT.1.1 in the OS PP omits the TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA, TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256, and TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256 ciphersuites.
TD0365 – FCS_CKM_EXT.4 selections	Yes	

**Table 6 GPOS Technical Decisions**

Identifier	Applicable	Exclusion Rationale (if applicable)
TD0446 - Missing selections for SSH	Yes	
TD0420 – Conflict in FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.1 and FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.1	Yes	
TD0332 – Support for RSA SHA2 host keys	Yes	
TD0331 – SSH Rekey Testing	Yes	
TD0240: FCS_COP.1.1(1) Platform provided crypto for encryption/decryption	Yes	

**Table 7 SSH EP Technical Decisions**



### 3 Security Problem Definition

The security problem definition has been taken from [GPOSPP] and is reproduced here for the convenience of the reader. The security problem is described in terms of the threats that the TOE is expected to address, assumptions about the operational environment, and any organizational security policies that the TOE is expected to enforce.

#### 3.1 Threats

The following threats are drawn directly from the [GPOSPP].

ID	Threat
T.NETWORK_ATTACK	An attacker is positioned on a communications channel or elsewhere on the network infrastructure. Attackers may engage in communications with applications and services running on or part of the OS with the intent of compromise. Engagement may consist of altering existing legitimate communications.
T.NETWORK_EAVESDROP	An attacker is positioned on a communications channel or elsewhere on the network infrastructure. Attackers may monitor and gain access to data exchanged between applications and services that are running on or part of the OS.
T.LOCAL_ATTACK	An attacker may compromise applications running on the OS. The compromised application may provide maliciously formatted input to the OS through a variety of channels including unprivileged system calls and messaging via the file system.
T.LIMITED_PHYSICAL_ACCESS	An attacker may attempt to access data on the OS while having a limited amount of time with the physical device.

Table 8 Threats

#### 3.2 Assumptions

The following assumptions are drawn directly from the [GPOSPP].

ID	Assumption
A.PLATFORM	The OS relies upon a trustworthy computing platform for its execution. This underlying platform is out of scope of this PP.
A.PROPER_USER	The user of the OS is not willfully negligent or hostile, and uses the software in compliance with the applied enterprise security policy. At the same time, malicious software could act as the user, so requirements which confine malicious subjects are still in scope.
A.PROPER_ADMIN	The administrator of the OS is not careless, willfully negligent or hostile, and administers the OS within compliance of the applied enterprise security policy.

Table 9 Assumptions

### **3.3 Organizational Security Policies**

The [GPOSPP] and [SSHEP] do not define any OSPs.

## 4 Security Objectives

The security objectives for the TOE have been taken from [GPOSPP] and are reproduced here for the convenience of the reader.

### 4.1 Security Objectives for the TOE

The following subsections describe objectives for the TOE.

ID	Objective for the Operation Environment
O.ACCOUNTABILITY	Conformant Oses ensure that information exists that allows administrators to discover unintentional issues with the configuration and operation of the operating system and discover its cause. Gathering event information and immediately transmitting it to another system can also enable incident response in the event of system compromise.
O.INTEGRITY	Conformant Oses ensure the integrity of their update packages. Oses are seldom if ever shipped without errors, and the ability to deploy patches and updates with integrity is critical to enterprise network security. Conformant Oses provide execution environment-based mitigations that increase the cost to attackers by adding complexity to the task of compromising systems.
O.MANAGEMENT	To facilitate management by users and the enterprise, conformant Oses provide consistent and supported interfaces for their security-relevant configuration and maintenance. This includes the deployment of applications and application updates through the use of platform-supported deployment mechanisms and formats, as well as providing mechanisms for configuration and application execution control.
O.PROTECTED_STORAGE	To address the issue of loss of confidentiality of credentials in the event of loss of physical control of the storage medium, conformant Oses provide data-at-rest protection for credentials. Conformant Oses also provide access controls which allow users to keep their files private from other users of the same system.
O.PROTECTED_COMMS	To address both passive (eavesdropping) and active (packet modification) network attack threats, conformant Oses provide mechanisms to create trusted channels for CSP and sensitive data. Both CSP and sensitive data should not be exposed outside of the platform.

**Table 10 Security Objectives for the TOE**

### 4.2 Security Objectives for the Operational Environment

The following security objectives for the operational environment assist the TOE in correctly providing its security functionality. These track with the assumptions about the environment.

ID	Objective for the Operation Environment
OE.PLATFORM	The OS relies on being installed on trusted hardware.

OE.PROPER_USER	The user of the OS is not willfully negligent or hostile, and uses the software within compliance of the applied enterprise security policy. Standard user accounts are provisioned in accordance with the least privilege model. Users requiring higher levels of access should have a separate account dedicated for that use.
OE.PROPER_ADMIN	The administrator of the OS is not careless, willfully negligent or hostile, and administers the OS within compliance of the applied enterprise security policy.

**Table 11 Objectives for the Operational Environment**

**4.3 Rationale for Security Objectives**

The following section describes how the assumptions, threats, and organizational security policies map to the security objectives.

<b>Threat, Assumption, or OSP</b>	<b>Security Objectives</b>	<b>Rationale</b>
T.NETWORK_ATTACK	O.PROTECTED_COMMS, O.INTEGRITY, O.MANAGEMENT O.ACCOUNTABILITY	The threat T.NETWORK_ATTACK is countered by O.PROTECTED_COMMS as this provides for integrity of transmitted data. The threat T.NETWORK_ATTACK is countered by O.INTEGRITY as this provides for integrity of software that is installed onto the system from the network. The threat T.NETWORK_ATTACK is countered by O.MANAGEMENT as this provides for the ability to configure the OS to defend against network attack. The threat T.NETWORK_ATTACK is countered by O.ACCOUNTABILITY as this provides a mechanism for the OS to report behavior that may indicate a network attack has occurred.
T.NETWORK_EAVESDROP	O.PROTECTED_COMMS, O.MANAGEMENT	The threat T.NETWORK_EAVESDROP is countered by O.PROTECTED_COMMS as this provides for confidentiality of transmitted data. The threat T.NETWORK_EAVESDROP is countered by O.MANAGEMENT as

		this provides for the ability to configure the OS to protect the confidentiality of its transmitted data.
T.LOCAL_ATTACK	O.INTEGRITY O.ACCOUNTABILITY	The objective O.INTEGRITY protects against the use of mechanisms that weaken the TOE with regard to attack by other software on the platform. The objective O.ACCOUNTABILITY protects against local attacks by providing a mechanism to report behavior that may indicate a local attack is occurring or has occurred.
T.LIMITED_PHYSICAL_ACCESS	O.PROTECTED_STORAGE	The objective O.PROTECTED_STORAGE protects against unauthorized attempts to access physical storage used by the TOE.
A.PLATFORM OE.PLATFORM	OE.PLATFORM	The operational environment objective OE.PLATFORM is realized through A.PLATFORM.
A.PROPER_USER	OE.PROPER_USER	The operational environment objective OE.PROPER_USER is realized through A.PROPER_USER.
A.PROPER_ADMIN	OE.PROPER_ADMIN	The operational environment objective OE.PROPER_ADMIN is realized through A.PROPER_ADMIN.

**Table 12 Rationale for Security Objectives**

## 5 Extended Security Functional Components

Requirements	Descriptions
FCS_RBG_EXT.1	Random Bit Generation
FCS_STO_EXT.1	Storage of Sensitive Data
FCS_SSH_EXT.1	SSH Protocol
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1	SSH Protocol - Client
FCS_SSHS_EXT.1	SSH Protocol - Server
FCS_TLSC_EXT.1	TLS Client Protocol
FDP_IFC_EXT.1	Information flow control
FDP_ACF_EXT.1	Access Controls for Protecting User Data
FIA_X509_EXT.1	X.509 Certificate Validation
FIA_X509_EXT.2	X.509 Certificate Authentication
FMT_MOF_EXT.1	Management of security functions behavior
FMT_SMF_EXT.1	Specification of Management Functions
FPT_ACF_EXT.1	Access controls
FPT_ASRLR_EXT.1	Address Space Layout Randomization
FPT_SBOP_EXT.1	Stack Buffer Overflow Protection
FPT_TST_EXT.1	Boot Integrity
FPT_TUD_EXT.1	Trusted Update
FPT_TUD_EXT.2	Trusted Update for Application Software
FPT_ITC_EXT.1	Trusted channel communication

**Table 13 Extended Security Functional Components**

### 5.1 Extended Security Functional Components Rationale

The definition of all SFRs with the appendix of "\_EXT" is supplied by the protection profile. All extended security functional components are derived directly from the [OS PP v4.2.1] and applied verbatim. Please refer to Section 9 Annex B - Extended Security Functional Components.

## 6 Security Requirements

This section identifies the Security Functional Requirements for the TOE. The Security Functional Requirements included in this section are derived from Part 2 of the Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, Revision 5, dated: April 2017 and all international interpretations.

Requirements	Descriptions
FAU_GEN.1	Audit Data Generation (Refined)
FCS_CKM.1	Cryptographic Key Generation (Refined)
FCS_CKM.2	Cryptographic Key Establishment (Refined)
FCS_CKM_EXT.4	Cryptographic Key Destruction
FCS_COP.1(1)	Cryptographic Operation - Encryption/Decryption (Refined)
FCS_COP.1(1)/SSH	Cryptographic Operation - Encryption/Decryption (Refined)
FCS_COP.1(2)	Cryptographic Operation - Hashing (Refined)
FCS_COP.1(3)	Cryptographic Operation - Signing (Refined)
FCS_COP.1(4)	Cryptographic Operation - Keyed-Hash Message Authentication (Refined)
FCS_RBG_EXT.1	Random Bit Generation
FCS_STO_EXT.1	Storage of Sensitive Data
FCS_SSH_EXT.1	SSH Protocol
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1	SSH Protocol - Client
FCS_SSHS_EXT.1	SSH Protocol - Server
FCS_TLSC_EXT.1	TLS Client Protocol
FDP_IFC_EXT.1	Information flow control
FDP_ACF_EXT.1	Access Controls for Protecting User Data
FIA_AFL.1	Authentication Failure Management (Refined)
FIA_UAU.5	Multiple Authentication Mechanisms (Refined)
FIA_X509_EXT.1	X.509 Certificate Validation
FIA_X509_EXT.2	X.509 Certificate Authentication
FMT_MOF_EXT.1	Management of security functions behavior
FMT_SMF_EXT.1	Specification of Management Functions
FPT_ACF_EXT.1	Access controls
FPT_ASLR_EXT.1	Address Space Layout Randomization
FPT_SBOP_EXT.1	Stack Buffer Overflow Protection
FPT_TST_EXT.1	Boot Integrity
FPT_TUD_EXT.1	Trusted Update
FPT_TUD_EXT.2	Trusted Update for Application Software
FTP_ITC_EXT.1	Trusted channel communication
FTP_TRP.1	Trusted Path

Table 14 SFRs

### 6.1 Conventions

The CC defines operations on Security Functional Requirements: assignments, selections, assignments within selections and refinements. This document uses the following font conventions to identify the operations defined by the CC:

- Assignment: Indicated with *italicized* text;
- Refinement: Indicated with **bold** text;
- Selection: Indicated with underlined text;
- Iteration: Indicated by appending the SFR name with a slash and unique identifier suggesting the purpose of the iteration, e.g. '/SSH' for an SFR relating to SSH functionality and/or a sequential number in parentheses, e.g. (1).
- Where operations were completed in the PP or EP itself, the formatting used in the PP or EP has been retained.

Extended SFRs are identified by having a label 'EXT' after the requirement name. Formatting conventions outside of operations matches the formatting specified within the PP or EP.

## 6.2 Security Functional requirements

### 6.2.1 Security Audit (FAU)

#### 6.2.1.1 FAU\_GEN.1 Audit Data Generation (Refined)

**FAU\_GEN.1.1** The OS shall be able to generate an audit record of the following auditable events:

- Start-up and shut-down of the audit functions;
- All auditable events for the **not specified** level of audit; and
- **Authentication events (Success/Failure);**
  - **Use of privileged/special rights events (Successful and unsuccessful security, audit, and configuration changes);**
  - **Privilege or role escalation events (Success/Failure);**
  - **[no other specifically defined auditable events]**

**FAU\_GEN.1.2** The OS shall record within each audit record at least the following information:

- Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity (if applicable), and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and
- For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definitions of the functional components included in the PP/ST, [*User identity (if applicable)*].

### 6.2.2 Cryptographic Support (FCS)

#### 6.2.2.1 FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic Key Generation (Refined)

**FCS\_CKM.1.1** The OS shall generate **asymmetric** cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm: [

- **RSA schemes using cryptographic key sizes of 2048-bit or greater that meet the following: FIPS PUB 186-4, "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", Appendix B.3,**
- **FFC schemes using cryptographic key sizes of 2048-bit or greater that meet the following: FIPS PUB 186-4, "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", Appendix B.1.**
- **FFC Schemes using Diffie-Hellman group 14 that meet the following: RFC 3526,**

] and specified cryptographic key sizes [assignment: cryptographic key sizes] that meet the following: [assignment: list of standards].



### 6.2.2.2 FCS\_CKM.2 Cryptographic Key Establishment (Refined)

**FCS\_CKM.2.1** The OS shall implement functionality to perform cryptographic key establishment in accordance with a specified cryptographic key establishment method:

- **RSA-based key establishment schemes that meets the following: RSAES-PKCS1-v1\_5 as specified in Section 7.2 of RFC 8017, "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.2,**
- **Finite field-based key establishment schemes that meets the following: NIST Special Publication 800-56A Revision 3, "Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography",**
- **Key establishment scheme using Diffie-Hellman group 14 that meets the following: RFC 3526]**

that meets the following: [assignment: list of standards].

### 6.2.2.3 FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4 Cryptographic Key Destruction

**FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4.1** The OS shall destroy cryptographic keys and key material in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method [

- For volatile memory, the destruction shall be executed by a [
  - single overwrite consisting of [zeroes],

],

- For non-volatile memory that consists of [the invocation of an interface provided by the underlying platform that [
  - instructs the underlying platform to destroy the abstraction that represents the key]

].

**FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4.2** The OS shall destroy all keys and key material when no longer needed.

### 6.2.2.4 FCS\_COP.1(1) Cryptographic Operation - Encryption/Decryption (Refined)

**FCS\_COP.1.1(1)** The OS shall perform encryption/decryption services for data in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [

- **AES-XTS (as defined in NIST SP 800-38E),**
- **AES-CBC (as defined in NIST SP 800-38A),**

] and

[

- AES-GCM (as defined in NIST SP 800-38D),

] and cryptographic key sizes [128-bit, 256-bit] that meet the following: [assignment: list of standards].

### 6.2.2.5 FCS\_COP.1(1)/SSH Cryptographic Operation - Encryption/Decryption (Refined)

**FCS\_COP.1.1(1)/SSH**

The SSH software shall *[perform]* encryption/decryption services for data in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm AES-CTR (as defined in NIST SP 800-38A) mode and cryptographic key sizes *[128-bit, 256-bit]*.

#### 6.2.2.6 FCS\_COP.1(2) Cryptographic Operation - Hashing (Refined)

**FCS\_COP.1.1(2)** The OS shall perform *[cryptographic hashing services]* in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm *[SHA-1 and [*

- *SHA-256,*
- *SHA-384,*
- *SHA-512,*
- *no other algorithms*

*]] and message digest sizes 160 bits and [*

- *256 bits,*
- *384 bits,*
- *512 bits,*
- *no other sizes*

*] that meet the following: [FIPS Pub 180-4].*

#### 6.2.2.7 FCS\_COP.1(3) Cryptographic Operation - Signing (Refined)

**FCS\_COP.1.1(3)** The OS shall perform cryptographic signature services (generation and verification) in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [

- **RSA schemes** using cryptographic key sizes of 2048-bit or greater that meet the following: FIPS PUB 186-4, "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", Section 4,

*] and cryptographic key sizes [assignment: cryptographic algorithm] that meet the following: [assignment: list of standards].*

#### 6.2.2.8 FCS\_COP.1(4) Cryptographic Operation - Keyed-Hash Message Authentication (Refined)

**FCS\_COP.1.1(4)** The OS shall perform keyed-hash message authentication services in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm *[SHA-1, SHA-256, SHA-384, SHA-512]* with key sizes *[112 bits used in HMAC]* and message digest sizes *[160 bits, 256 bits, 384 bits, 512 bits]* that meet the following: FIPS Pub 198-1 *The Keyed-Hash Message Authentication Code* and FIPS Pub 180-4 *Secure Hash Standard*.

#### 6.2.2.9 FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1 Random Bit Generation

**FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall perform all deterministic random bit generation (DRBG) services in accordance with NIST Special Publication 800-90A using [

- Hash\_DRBG (any),
- HMAC\_DRBG (any),
- CTR\_DRBG (AES)

*].*

**FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1.2** The deterministic RBG used by the OS shall be seeded by an entropy source that accumulates entropy from a [

- platform-based noise source

] with a minimum of [

- 256 bits

] of entropy at least equal to the greatest security strength (according to NIST SP 800-57) of the keys and hashes that it will generate.

#### **6.2.2.10 FCS\_STO\_EXT.1 Storage of Sensitive Data**

**FCS\_STO\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall implement functionality to encrypt sensitive data stored in non-volatile storage and provide interfaces to applications to invoke this functionality.

#### **6.2.2.11 FCS\_SSH\_EXT.1 SSH Protocol**

**FCS\_SSH\_EXT.1.1** The SSH software shall implement the SSH protocol that complies with RFCs 4251, 4252, 4253, 4254 and [6668] as a [*client, server*].

#### **6.2.2.12 FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1 SSH Protocol – Client**

**FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.1** The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH protocol implementation supports the following authentication methods as described in RFC 4252: public key-based, and [*password-based*].

**FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.2** The SSH client shall ensure that, as described in RFC 4253, packets greater than [262144] bytes in an SSH transport connection are dropped.

**FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.3** The SSH software shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses the following encryption algorithms and rejects all other encryption algorithms: aes128-ctr, aes256-ctr, [*aes128-cbc, aes256-cbc, no other algorithms*].

**FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.4** The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses [*ssh-rsa*] and [*no other public key algorithms*] as its public key algorithm(s) and rejects all other public key algorithms.

**FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.5** The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses [*hmac-sha1, hmac-sha2-256, hmac-sha2-512*] and [*no other algorithms*] as its data integrity MAC algorithm(s) and rejects all other MAC algorithm(s).

**FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.6** The SSH client shall ensure that [*diffie-hellman-group14-sha1*] and [*no other methods*] are the only allowed key exchange methods used for the SSH protocol.

**FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.7** The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH connection be rekeyed after [*no more than 2<sup>28</sup> packets have been transmitted*] using that key.

**FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.8** The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH client authenticates the identity of the SSH server using a local database associating each host name with its corresponding public key or [*no other methods*] as described in RFC 4251 section 4.1.

#### **6.2.2.13 FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1 SSH Server Protocol**

**FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.1** The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH protocol implementation supports the following authentication methods as described in RFC 4252: public key-based, and [*password-based*].

**FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.2** The SSH server shall ensure that, as described in RFC 4253, packets greater than [262144] bytes in an SSH transport connection are dropped.

**FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.3** The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses the following encryption algorithms and rejects all other encryption algorithms: aes128-ctr, aes256-ctr, [aes128-cbc, aes256-cbc, no other algorithms].

**FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.4** The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses [ssh-rsa] and [no other public key algorithms] as its public key algorithm(s) and rejects all other public key algorithms.

**FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.5** The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses [hmac-sha1, hmac-sha2-256, hmac-sha2-512] and [no other algorithms] as its MAC algorithm(s) and rejects all other MAC algorithm(s).

**FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.6** The SSH server shall ensure that [diffie-hellman-group14-sha1] and [no other methods] are the only allowed key exchange methods used for the SSH protocol.

**FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.7** The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH connection be rekeyed after [no more than 2<sup>28</sup> packets have been transmitted] using that key.

#### **6.2.2.14 FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1 TLS Client Protocol**

**FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall implement TLS 1.2 (RFC 5246) supporting the following cipher suites: [

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA as defined in RFC 5246
  - TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246
  - TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246
- ].

#### **FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1.2**

The OS shall verify that the presented identifier matches the reference identifier per RFC 6125.

#### **FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1.3**

The OS shall only establish a trusted channel if the peer certificate is valid.

### **6.2.3 User Data Protection (FDP)**

#### **6.2.3.1 FDP\_ACF\_EXT.1 Access Controls for Protecting User Data**

**FDP\_ACF\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall implement access controls which can prohibit unprivileged users from accessing files and directories owned by other users.

#### **6.2.3.2 FDP\_IFC\_EXT.1 Information flow control**

**FDP\_IFC\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall [provide an interface which allows a VPN client to protect all IP traffic using IPsec] with the exception of IP traffic required to establish the VPN connection and [no other traffic].

Application Note: Typically, the traffic required to establish the VPN connection

### **6.2.4 Identification and Authentication (FIA)**

#### **6.2.4.1 FIA\_AFL.1 Authentication Failure Management (Refined)**

**FIA\_AFL.1.1** The OS shall detect when [

- *an Administrator configurable positive integer within [1-999]*

] unsuccessful authentication attempts occur related to **events with [**

- **authentication based on user name and password,**

].

**FIA\_AFL.1.2** When the defined number of unsuccessful authentication attempts for an account has been met, the OS shall: [Account Disablement].

#### **6.2.4.2 FIA\_UAU.5 Multiple Authentication Mechanisms (Refined)**

**FIA\_UAU.5.1** The OS shall provide the following authentication mechanisms [

- **authentication based on user name and password,**
- **for use in SSH only, SSH public key-based authentication as specified by the EP for Secure Shell**

] to support user authentication.

**FIA\_UAU.5.2** The OS shall authenticate any user's claimed identity according to the [*authentication on the local console is based on user name and password, authentication via the SSHv2 protocol first performs the certificate-based authentication which is followed by the user name and password authentication if the certificate-based authentication was unsuccessful*].

#### **6.2.4.3 FIA\_X509\_EXT.1 X.509 Certificate Validation**

##### **FIA\_X509\_EXT.1.1**

The OS shall implement functionality to validate certificates in accordance with the following rules:

- RFC 5280 certificate validation and certificate path validation
- The certificate path must terminate with a trusted CA certificate
- The OS shall validate a certificate path by ensuring the presence of the basicConstraints extension, that the CA flag is set to TRUE for all CA certificates, and that any path constraints are met.
- The TSF shall validate that any CA certificate includes caSigning purpose in the key usage field
- The OS shall validate the revocation status of the certificate using [CRL as specified in RFC 5759]
- The OS shall validate the extendedKeyUsage field according to the following rules:
  - Certificates used for trusted updates and executable code integrity verification shall have the Code Signing Purpose (id-kp 3 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.3) in the extendedKeyUsage field.
  - Server certificates presented for TLS shall have the Server Authentication purpose (id-kp 1 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.1) in the extendedKeyUsage field.
  - Client certificates presented for TLS shall have the Client Authentication purpose (id-kp 2 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.2) in the ECU field.
  - S/MIME certificates presented for email encryption and signature shall have the Email Protection purpose (id-kp 4 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.4) in the ECU field.

- OCSP certificates presented for OCSP responses shall have the OCSP Signing Purpose (id-kp 9 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.9) in the EKU field.
- Server certificates presented for EST shall have the CMC Registration Authority (RA) purpose (id-kp-cmcRA with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.28) in the EKU field. (conditional)

### FIA\_X509\_EXT.1.2

The OS shall only treat a certificate as a CA certificate if the *basicConstraints* extension is present and the CA flag is set to TRUE.

### 6.2.4.4 FIA\_X509\_EXT.2 X.509 Certificate Authentication

#### FIA\_X509\_EXT.2.1

The OS shall use X.509v3 certificates as defined by RFC 5280 to support authentication for TLS and [no other protocols] connections.

### 6.2.5 Security Management (FMT)

#### 6.2.5.1 FMT\_MOF\_EXT.1 Management of security functions behavior

**FMT\_MOF\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall restrict the ability to perform the function indicated in the "Administrator" column in FMT\_SMF\_EXT.1.1 to the administrator.

#### 6.2.5.2 FMT\_SMF\_EXT.1 Specification of Management Functions

**FMT\_SMF\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall be capable of performing the following management functions:

Management Function	Administrator	User
Enable/disable <u>[session timeout]</u>	X	
Configure <u>[session]</u> inactivity timeout	X	
Configure local audit storage capacity	X	
Configure minimum password Length	X	
Configure minimum number of special characters in password	X	
Configure minimum number of numeric characters in password	X	
Configure minimum number of uppercase characters in password	X	
Configure minimum number of lowercase characters in password	X	
Configure lockout policy for unsuccessful authentication attempts through <u>[limiting number of attempts during a time period]</u>	X	

Management Function	Administrator	User
Configure host-based firewall	X	
Configure name/address of directory server with which to bind		
Configure name/address of remote management server from which to receive management settings		
Configure name/address of audit/logging server to which to send audit/logging records	X	
Configure audit rules	X	
Configure name/address of network time server	X	
Enable/disable automatic software update	X	
Configure WiFi interface		
Enable/disable Bluetooth interface		
Enable/disable [ <i>no other devices</i> ]	X	
No other management functions	X	

**Table 15 Specification of Management Functions**

## **6.2.6 Protection of the TSF (FPT)**

### **6.2.6.1 FPT\_ACF\_EXT.1 Access controls**

**FPT\_ACF\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall implement access controls which prohibit unprivileged users from modifying:

- Kernel and its drivers/modules
- Security audit logs
- Shared libraries
- System executables
- System configuration files

- *[no other objects]*

**FPT\_ACF\_EXT.1.2** The OS shall implement access controls which prohibit unprivileged users from reading:

- Security audit logs
- System-wide credential repositories
- *[no other objects]*

#### **6.2.6.2 FPT\_AS LR\_EXT.1 Address Space Layout Randomization**

**FPT\_AS LR\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall always randomize process address space memory locations with *[32 bits]* of entropy except for *[the Linux kernel, non-Position-Independent-Executable applications, non-Position-Independent-Code shared libraries]*.

#### **6.2.6.3 FPT\_SBOP\_EXT.1 Stack Buffer Overflow Protection**

**FPT\_SBOP\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall *[employ stack-based buffer overflow protections]*.

#### **6.2.6.4 FPT\_TST\_EXT.1 Boot Integrity**

**FPT\_TST\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall verify the integrity of the bootchain up through the OS kernel and [

- *no other executable code*

] prior to its execution through the use of [

- *a digital signature using a hardware-protected asymmetric key,*

].

#### **6.2.6.5 FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1 Trusted Update**

**FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall provide the ability to check for updates to the OS software itself and shall use a digital signature scheme specified in FCS COP.1(3) to validate the authenticity of the response.

**FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1.2** The OS shall cryptographically verify updates to itself using a digital signature prior to installation using schemes specified in FCS COP.1(3).

#### **6.2.6.6 FPT\_TUD\_EXT.2 Trusted Update for Application Software**

**FPT\_TUD\_EXT.2.1** The OS shall provide the ability to check for updates to application software and shall use a digital signature scheme specified in FCS COP.1(3) to validate the authenticity of the response.

**FPT\_TUD\_EXT.2.2** The OS shall cryptographically verify the integrity of updates to applications using a digital signature specified by FCS COP.1(3) prior to installation.

### **6.2.7 Trusted path/channels (FTP)**

#### **6.2.7.1 FTP\_ITC\_EXT.1 Trusted channel communication**

**FTP\_ITC\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall use [

- *TLS as conforming to FCS TLSC EXT.1,*
- *SSH as conforming to the EP for Secure Shell*



] to provide a trusted communication channel between itself and authorized IT entities supporting the following capabilities: [*management server*] that is logically distinct from other communication channels and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from disclosure and detection of modification of the channel data.

**6.2.7.2 FTP\_TRP.1 Trusted Path**

**FTP\_TRP.1.1** The OS shall provide a communication path between itself and [*remote, local*] users that is logically distinct from other communication paths and provides assured identification of its endpoints and protection of the communicated data from modification and disclosure.

**FTP\_TRP.1.2** The OS shall permit [*the TSF, local users, remote users*] to initiate communication via the trusted path.

**FTP\_TRP.1.3** The OS shall require use of the trusted path for all remote administrative actions.

**6.3 TOE SFR Dependencies Rationale for SFRs**

[GPOSPP] and [SSHEP] contain all the requirements claimed in this Security Target. As such, the dependencies are not applicable since the PP and EP have been approved.

**6.4 Security Assurance Requirements**

The TOE assurance requirements for this ST are taken directly from [GPOSPP] which are derived from Common Criteria Version 3.1, Revision 5. The assurance requirements are summarized in the table below.

Assurance Class	Components	Components Description
Development	ADV_FSP.1	Basic Functional Specification
Guidance Documentation	AGD_OPE.1	Operational User Guidance
	AGD_PRE.1	Preparative Procedures
Life-Cycle Support	ALC_CMC.1	Labeling of the TOE
	ALC_CMS.1	TOE CM Coverage
	ALC_TSU_EXT.1	Timely Security Updates
Tests	ATE_IND.1	Independent Testing – Conformance
Vulnerability Assessment	AVA_VAN.1	Vulnerability Survey

**Table 16 Security Assurance Requirements**

**6.5 Rationale for Security Assurance Requirements**

The functional specification describes the external interfaces of the TOE; such as the means for a user to invoke a service and the corresponding response of those services. The description includes the interface(s) that enforces a security functional requirement, the interface(s) that supports the enforcement of a security functional requirement, and the interface(s) that does not enforce any security functional requirements. The interfaces are described in terms of their purpose (general goal of the interface), method of use (how the interface is to be used), parameters (explicit inputs to and outputs from an interface that control the behavior of that interface), parameter descriptions (tells what the parameter is in some meaningful way), and error messages (identifies the condition that generated it, what the message is, and the meaning of any error codes). The development evidence also contains a tracing of the interfaces to the SFRs described in this ST.

## 6.6 Assurance Measures

The TOE satisfies the identified assurance requirements. This section identifies the Assurance Measures applied by Oracle to satisfy the assurance requirements. The table below lists the details.

SAR Component	How the SAR will be met
ADV_FSP.1	The functional specification describes the external interfaces of the TOE; such as the means for a user to invoke a service and the corresponding response of those services. The description includes the interface(s) that enforces a security functional requirement, the interface(s) that supports the enforcement of a security functional requirement, and the interface(s) that does not enforce any security functional requirements. The interfaces are described in terms of their purpose (general goal of the interface), method of use (how the interface is to be used), parameters (explicit inputs to and outputs from an interface that control the behavior of that interface), parameter descriptions (tells what the parameter is in some meaningful way), and error messages (identifies the condition that generated it, what the message is, and the meaning of any error codes).
AGD_OPE.1	The Administrative Guide provides the descriptions of the processes and procedures of how the administrative users of the TOE can securely administer the TOE using the interfaces that provide the features and functions detailed in the guidance.
AGD_PRE.1	The Installation Guide describes the installation, generation, and startup procedures so that the users of the TOE can put the components of the TOE in the evaluated configuration.
ALC_CMC.1 ALC_CMS.1	The Configuration Management (CM) documents describe how the consumer identifies the evaluated TOE. The CM documents identify the configuration items, how those configuration items are uniquely identified, and the adequacy of the procedures that are used to control and track changes that are made to the TOE. This includes details on what changes are tracked and how potential changes are incorporated.

SAR Component	How the SAR will be met
ALC_TSU_EXT.1	<p>The security updates are flagged as Critical or High based on the CSS ratings and should be available to the public within 24 hours of the fix has been finalized. Oracle uses the utilizes CVSS 3.0 specification for scoring CVEs. Any low severity CVEs will be evaluated in the next release based on priority. For the kernel, there will be quarterly release for the UEK. Any low severity may be addressed in the next major release. In addition, there is also a monthly errata for UEK where pending high level security issues can be consolidated.</p> <p>To report, security vulnerabilities, users should follow the process outline in the following website:</p> <p><a href="https://www.oracle.com/corporate/security-practices/assurance/vulnerability/reporting.html">https://www.oracle.com/corporate/security-practices/assurance/vulnerability/reporting.html</a></p> <p>The following webpage provides links to published Errata where users can track any vulnerabilities.</p> <p><a href="https://linux.oracle.com/security/">https://linux.oracle.com/security/</a></p> <p>If there is a publicly known vulnerability, users can track progress on the remediation progress from the following link:</p> <p><a href="https://linux.oracle.com/security">https://linux.oracle.com/security</a></p> <p>One can search for CVEs or Oracle Linux 7 Security Errata.</p> <p>Users can sign up to the mailing list to be notified of security updates:</p> <p><a href="https://oss.oracle.com/mailman/listinfo/el-errata">https://oss.oracle.com/mailman/listinfo/el-errata</a> to receive updates.</p> <p>Oracle customers and partners should use the “My Oracle Support to submit a service request for any security vulnerabilities that they may have discovered in the Oracle product. All other users, should submit an email to <a href="mailto:secalert_us@oracle.com">secalert_us@oracle.com</a> with their observations. All users are strongly recommended to use email encryption using Oracle encryption key when contacting Oracle Security. Oracle works closely with the research community who find vulnerabilities and work with Oracle so that the security fixes can be issued to all customers.</p>
ATE_IND.1	Oracle will provide the TOE for testing.
AVA_VAN.1	Oracle will provide the TOE for testing.

Table 17 TOE Security Assurance Measures

## 7 TOE Summary Specification

This chapter identifies and describes how the Security Functional Requirements identified above are met by the TOE.

TOE SFRs	Rationale
FAU_GEN.1 and FAU_GEN.2	<p>The TOE leverages the Lightweight Audit Framework (LAF) audit system.</p> <p>Audit events are generated for the following audit functions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Start-up and shut-down of the audit functions;</li> <li>• Authentication events (Success/Failure);</li> <li>• Use of privileged/special rights events (Successful and unsuccessful security, audit, and configuration changes)</li> <li>• Privilege or role escalation events (Success/Failure)</li> </ul> <p>Each audit record contains the following information:</p> <p>Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity (if applicable), and outcome (success or failure) of the event</p> <p>The audit trail is stored in files which are only accessible by administrators. Once the audit files are full, the administrator would be notified. Once the audit trail is full, the audit daemon will not allow new audit events from the kernel. The kernel buffer must be cleared before new audit events are allowed.</p>
FCS_CKM.1	<p>The TOE supports RSA key sizes of 2048 bits, 3072 bits and 4096 bits for key generation conforming to FIPS PUB 186-4 Digital Signature Standard (DSS), Appendix B.3. The RSA keys are used in support of digital signatures for both TLS and SSH communications.</p> <p>The TOE supports FFC Schemes using Diffie-Hellman group 14 that meets RFC 3526.</p> <p>The TOE supports FFC schemes using cryptographic key sizes of 2048 and 3072-bits that meet the following: FIPS PUB 186-4, "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", Appendix B.1. The FFC scheme is used as part of key generation for TLS.</p> <p>Please refer to Table#3 Cryptographic Algorithm Certificates for NIST CAVPs for RSA, and DSA.</p>
FCS_CKM.2	<p>The TOE supports Cryptographic Key Establishment using the following schemes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RSA-based key establishment conforming to RSAES-PKCS1-v1_5 as specified in Section 7.2 of RFC 8017, "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.2.</li> <li>• Finite field-based key establishment conforming to NIST Special Publication 800-56A Revision 3, "Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography.</li> <li>• Key establishment scheme using Diffie-Hellman group 14 that meets the following: RFC 3526.</li> </ul>

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	<p>The TOE complies with section 6 and all subsections regarding RSA key pair generation and key establishment in the NIST SP 800-56B.</p> <p>The TOE implements RSA key establishment scheme with key sizes of 2048, 3072 and 4096 that is conformant to NIST SP800-56B and FFC scheme with key sizes of 2048 bits or greater.</p> <p>Please refer to Table#3 Cryptographic Algorithm Certificates for NIST CAVPs for RSA, and KAS/CVL FCC.</p>
FCS_CKM_EXT.4	<p>For volatile memory, the destruction shall be executed by a single overwrite consisting of zeroes. For non-volatile memory, the destruction consists of the invocation of an interface provided by the underlying platform that instructs the underlying platform to destroy the abstraction that represents the key.</p> <p>Symmetric key material and Diffie-Hellman / EC Diffie-Hellman public and private keys are derived using the SSH KDF and stored in volatile memory.</p> <p>Asymmetric key material are stored on hard disk. The /etc/ssh directory contains the host keys which are generated using ssh-keygen. The \$HOME/.ssh contains user keys and are generated using ssh-keygen Authorized public keys are generated remotely and input into the TOE.</p> <p>TLS keys are stored in /etc/pki and can be generated from the TOE or imported into the TOE. Symmetric session keys for TLS are derived from the TLS KDF or input through RSA key wrap.</p> <p>The OpenSSL library clears all RAM buffers holding sensitive data or keys by overwriting the memory with a data pattern before releasing it.</p>
FCS_COP.1(1)	<p>The TOE supports AES encryption and decryption conforming to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CBC as specified in NIST SP 800-38A</li> <li>• GCM as specified in NIST SP 800-38D</li> <li>• AES-XTS as specified in NIST SP 800-38E</li> </ul> <p>The AES key size supported are 128 bits and 256 bits and the AES modes supported are: CBC, GCM, and XTS.</p> <p>Please refer to Table#3 Cryptographic Algorithm Certificates for NIST CAVPs for AES.</p>
FCS_COP.1(1)/SSH	<p>The SSH software shall invoke platform-provided encryption/decryption services for data in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm AES-CTR (as defined in NIST SP 800-38A) mode and cryptographic key sizes of 128-bits, and 256-bits. The TSF provides unique counter values for the AES-CTR algorithm. The OpenSSH module uses the OpenSSL module which does the AES CTR for ssh.</p>

TOE SFRs	Rationale										
	<p>A normal sequence of events would be to call ssh_aes_ctr_init() when a session is started, multiple calls to ssh_aes_ctr() to encrypt packets, and ssh_aes_ctr_cleanup to close out a session and free memory.</p> <p>The ssh_aes_ctr_init() function accepts a key and iv for the session (the iv is used as the initial value for the ctr). If the calling program (ssh or sshd) supplies an IV (ctr), it is used as the initial value for the counter, otherwise 0 is the initial value used.</p> <p>As encryption is done the with the ssh_aes_ctr function, the ssh_ctr_inc is called to increment the value of the counter by 1. Because the counter value is 128 bits (16 bytes), there is no direct instruction to add 1 to it, so the ssh_ctr_inc function does a loop to increment the value byte-by-byte and handles carries from low-order bytes to high-order bytes.</p> <p>Since the counter is 128 bits, it would take a HUGE amount of time before a ctr value is re-used with a specific key because of roll-over.</p>										
FCS_COP.1(2)	<p>The TOE supports Cryptographic hashing services conforming to FIPS Pub 180-4. The hashing algorithms are used for signature services and HMAC services.</p> <p>The following hashing algorithms supported: SHA-1, SHA-256, SHA-384 and SHA-512.</p> <p>The message digest sizes supported are: 160 bits, 256 bits, 384 bits and 512 bits.</p> <p>Please refer to Table #3 Cryptographic Algorithm Certificates for NIST CAVPs SHS.</p>										
FCS_COP.1(3)	<p>The TOE provides Cryptographic signature generation and verification in accordance with the following cryptographic algorithms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RSA digital signature algorithm conforming to FIPS PUB 186-4, “Digital Signature Standard (DSS)”, Section 4.</li> <li>• The RSA key sizes supported are: 2048, 3072 and 4096 bits.</li> <li>• Elliptical curve digital signature algorithm conforming to FIPS PUB 186-4, “Digital Signature Standard (DSS)”, Section 5.</li> <li>• The Elliptical curve key size supported is 256 bits.</li> </ul> <p>Please refer to Table #3 Cryptographic Algorithm Certificates for NIST CAVPs for RSA.</p>										
FCS_COP.1(4)	<p>The TOE supports Keyed-hash message authentication conforming to the Keyed-Hash Message Authentication Code and FIPS Pub 180-4 Secure Hash Standard with the following algorithms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Keyed hash algorithm authentication services in accordance with the following specified cryptographic algorithms: SHA-1, SHA-256, SHA-384 and SHA-512.</li> <li>• Key sizes supported are: 112 bits.</li> </ul> <p>HMAC algorithms is used in support of TLS and SSH sessions.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="440 1808 1341 1881"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="440 1808 621 1881">HMAC Algorithms</th> <th data-bbox="621 1808 803 1881">Hash Functions</th> <th data-bbox="803 1808 985 1881">Block Size</th> <th data-bbox="985 1808 1167 1881">Key lengths</th> <th data-bbox="1167 1808 1341 1881">MAC lengths</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	HMAC Algorithms	Hash Functions	Block Size	Key lengths	MAC lengths					
HMAC Algorithms	Hash Functions	Block Size	Key lengths	MAC lengths							

TOE SFRs	Rationale					
	HMAC-SHA-1	SHA-1	512 bits	160 bits	160 bits	
	HMAC-SHA-256	SHA-256	512 bits	256 bits	256 bits	
	HMAC-SHA-384	SHA-384	1024 bits	384 bits	384 bits	
	HMAC-SHA-512	SHA-512	1024 bits	512 bits	512 bits	
	Please refer to Table #3 Cryptographic Algorithm Certificates for NIST CAVPs for HMAC.					
FCS_RBG_EXT.1	<p>The TOE uses multiple DRBGs conforming to NIST Special Publication 800-90A:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CTR_DRBG(AES) is used for SSH</li> <li>• Hash_DRBG (any) is used for TLS</li> <li>• HMAC_DRBG (any) is used for block device encryption.</li> </ul> <p>The TOE leverages CTR_DRBG (AES), Hash_DRBG(any), and HMAC_DRBG (any). The deterministic RBG used by the OS is seeded by an entropy source that accumulates entropy from a platform-based noise source with a minimum of 256 bits of entropy at least equal to the greatest security strength (according to NIST SP 800-57) of the keys and hashes that it will generate.</p> <p>Please refer to Table #3 Cryptographic Algorithm Certificates for NIST CAVPs for DRBG.</p>					
FCS_STO_EXT.1	<p>The TOE supports block device encryption support where zero or more disk partitions can be encrypted as a whole.</p> <p>The device mapper supports the creation of encrypted block devices using the dm-crypt device driver. The data can be accessed at boot time only if you enter the correct password. As the underlying block device is encrypted and not the file system, you can use dm-crypt to encrypt disk partitions, RAID volumes, and LVM physical volumes, regardless of their contents.</p> <p>When installing Oracle Linux, the Security Administrator has the option of configuring encryption on system volumes other than the partition from which the system boots. To protect the bootable partition, a password protection mechanism is built into the BIOS or a GRUB password can be configured.</p> <p>The cryptsetup utility is used to set up Linux Unified Key Setup (LUKS) encryption on the device and to manage authentication.</p>					
FCS_SSH_EXT.1	The SSH software shall implement the SSH protocol that complies with RFCs 4251, 4252, 4253, 4254, and 6668 as a client and server.					
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.1	<p>The TOE supports password-based authentication and public key authentication. The following public key algorithms are supported for authentication: ssh-rsa</p> <p>This list conforms to FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.4.</p>					
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.2	The TOE ensures that SSH packets that exceed 262144 bytes are dropped at the					

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	<p>application layer per RFC 4253. This large packet size is typical for Linux implementations.</p> <p>Once SSH packets are received, it is verified that it contains the packet length, padding length, payload and random padding. Once the packet information has been verified then the packet is decrypted. The packets are stored in a buffer. If the packet size is larger than permitted, the SSH packets are dropped and the connection is terminated.</p>
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.3	<p>The TOE supports the following encryption algorithms: aes128-ctr, aes256-ctr, aes128-cbc, and aes256-cbc.</p> <p>Optional characteristics are not supported. The encryption algorithms specified are identical to those listed for the component.</p>
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.4	<p>The following public key algorithm is supported: ssh-rsa.</p> <p>Optional characteristics are not supported. The encryption algorithms specified are identical to those listed for the component.</p>
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.5	<p>The TOE supports the following data integrity MAC algorithms: hmac-sha1, hmac-sha2-256, and hmac-sha2-512.</p> <p>The data integrity algorithms specified are identical to those listed for the component.</p>
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.6	<p>The TOE supports the following key exchange algorithm: diiffie-hellman-group14-sha1..</p> <p>The key exchange algorithms specified are identical to those listed for the component.</p>
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.7	<p>The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH connection be rekeyed after no more than 2<sup>28</sup> packets have been transmitted using that key.</p>
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.8	<p>The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH client authenticates the identity of the SSH server using a local database associating each host name with its corresponding public key or no other methods as described in RFC 4251 section 4.1.</p>
FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.1	<p>The TOE supports password-based authentication and public key authentication.</p> <p>The following public key algorithms are supported for authentication: ssh-rsa, ecdsa-sha2-nistp256, and ecdsa-sha2-nistp384. This list conforms to FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.4.</p>
FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.2	<p>The TOE ensures that SSH packets that exceed 262144 bytes are dropped at the application layer per RFC 4253. This large packet size is typical for Linux implementations.</p> <p>Once SSH packets are received, it is verified that it contains the packet length, padding length, payload and random padding. Once the packet information has been verified then the packet is decrypted. The packets are stored in a buffer. If the packet size is larger than permitted, the SSH packets are dropped and the connection is terminated.</p>
FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.3	<p>The TOE supports the following encryption algorithms: aes128-ctr, aes256-ctr, aes128-cbc, and aes256-cbc.</p>



TOE SFRs	Rationale
	Optional characteristics are not supported. The encryption algorithms specified are identical to those listed for the component.
FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.4	<p>The following public key algorithm is supported: : ssh-rsa.</p> <p>Optional characteristics are not supported. The encryption algorithms specified are identical to those listed for the component.</p>
FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.5	<p>The TOE supports the following data integrity MAC algorithms: hmac-sha1, hmac-sha2-256, and hmac-sha2-512.</p> <p>The data integrity algorithms specified are identical to those listed for the component.</p>
FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.6	<p>The TOE supports the following key exchange algorithm: diiffie-hellman-group14-sha1.</p> <p>The key exchange algorithm specified are identical to those listed for the component.</p>
FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.7	The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH connection be rekeyed after no more than 2 <sup>28</sup> packets have been transmitted using that key.
FCS_TLSC_EXT.1.1	<p>The OS shall implement TLS 1.2 (RFC 5246) supporting the following cipher suites:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA as defined in RFC 5246</li> <li>• TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246</li> <li>• TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246</li> </ul> <p>The cipher suites specified are identical to those listed for this component.</p>
FCS_TLSC_EXT.1.2	<p>The OS verifies that the presented identifier matches the reference identifier according to RFC 6125. The following reference identifiers are to be verified during the TLS channel establishment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DNS host name or IP address found in Common Name of the X.509 certificate. Wild cards are supported.</li> <li>• DNS host name found in the SAN for DNS names of the X.509 certificate.</li> <li>• URI name found in the SAN for URI names of the X.509 certificate. The TOE does not support certificate pinning.</li> </ul>
FCS_TLSC_EXT.1.3	The OS establishes a trusted channel if the peer certificate is valid.
FDP_ACF_EXT.1	<p>The TOE provides support for POSIX type access control lists.</p> <p>ACL's can be used with the following file systems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ext4</li> <li>• XFS</li> <li>• OCSFS2</li> </ul>

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	<p>An ACL consists of a set of rules that specify how a specific user or group can access the file or directory with which the ACL is associated. A regular ACL entry specifies access information for a single file or directory. A default ACL entry is set on directories only and specifies default access information for any file within the directory that does not have an access ACL.</p> <p>Users can configure ACLs that define access rights for more than just a single user or group, and specify rights for programs, processes, files, and directories. If you set a default ACL on a directory, its descendants inherit the same rights automatically.</p>
FDP_IFC_EXT.1	<p>The TOE provides the XFRM framework with the XFRM netlink interface and it also provides the TUN/TAP interface for supporting user-space VPN clients operating at ISO/OSI level 2 or 3. Only IP traffic goes through the VPN and other traffic (DNS, etc) do not go through the VPN.</p>
FIA_AFL.1	<p>The TOE will detect when an administrator configurable integer within 1-999 unsuccessful authentication attempts for authentication based on user name and password occur related to authentication on local console and password-based authentication via SSH v2 protocol. Once the specified number of unsuccessful authentication attempts for an account has been met, the OS shall disable the account.</p>
FIA_UAU.5	<p>The TOE supports authentication based on username and password and public key-based authentication.</p> <p>The TOE leverages the Pluggable Authentication Module (PAM) authentication mechanism. For password-based authentication, when the user provides the correct username and password, this is compared to the known user database and if they match then the user is granted access. Otherwise, the user will not be granted access to the TOE.</p> <p>When using key-based authentication, the user must generate an RSA key pair. If the user uses public key-based authentication, the presented key is compared to the user's stored key. If the comparison is successful, then the user is granted access to the TOE. If the public key based authentication is unsuccessful, the user is prompted for a username and password.</p>
FIA_X509_EXT.1	<p>When an X.509 certificate is presented, the TOE verifies the certificate path, and certification validation process by verifying the following rules:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RFC 5280 certificate validation and certificate path validation.</li> <li>• The certificate path must terminate with a trusted CA certificate.</li> <li>• The OS shall validate a certificate path by ensuring the presence of the basicConstraints extension, that the CA flag is set to TRUE for all CA certificates, and that any path constraints are met.</li> <li>• The TSF shall validate that any CA certificate includes caSigning purpose in the key usage field</li> </ul> <p>The TOE supports CRL as specified by RFC 5759.</p>

TOE SFRs	Rationale						
	<p>The OS shall validate the extendedKeyUsage field according to the following rules:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Certificates used for trusted updates and executable code integrity verification shall have the Code Signing Purpose (id-kp 3 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.3) in the extendedKeyUsage field.</li> <li>○ Server certificates presented for TLS shall have the Server Authentication purpose (id-kp 1 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.1) in the extendedKeyUsage field.</li> <li>○ Client certificates presented for TLS shall have the Client Authentication purpose (id-kp 2 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.2) in the ECU field.</li> <li>○ S/MIME certificates presented for email encryption and signature shall have the Email Protection purpose (id-kp 4 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.4) in the ECU field.</li> <li>○ OCSP certificates presented for OCSP responses shall have the OCSP Signing Purpose (id-kp 9 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.9) in the ECU field.</li> <li>○ Server certificates presented for EST shall have the CMC Registration Authority (RA) purpose (id-kp-cmcRA with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.28) in the ECU field. (conditional)</li> </ul> <p>A Security Administrator can configure the TSF to use OCSP or CRL for revocation checking.</p>						
FIA_X509_EXT.1.2	The OS shall only treat a certificate as a CA certificate if the basicConstraints extension is present and the CA flag is set to TRUE.						
FIA_X509_EXT.2	The TSF uses X.509v3 certificates for TLS connections only.						
FMT_MOF_EXT.1	<p>All management activities are restricted to the root user. Privileges to perform administrative actions are maintained by the TOE. These privileges are separated into privileges to act on data or access functionality in user space and in kernel space.</p> <p>Functionality accessible in user space are applications that can be invoked by users. Also, data accessible in user space is either data maintained with an application or data stored in persistent or transient storage objects. Privileges are controlled by permissions to invoke applications and to access data. For example, the configuration files including the user databases of /etc/passwd and /etc/shadow are accessible to the root user only. Due to privileges being controlled by permissions, this prevents users from performing management functions that they do not have access to.</p>						
FMT_SMF_EXT.1	<p>The TOE maintains the following roles: Administrator and User</p> <p>The management functions are listed below:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="440 1713 1360 1860"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="440 1713 954 1801">Management Function</th> <th data-bbox="954 1713 1156 1801">Administrator</th> <th data-bbox="1156 1713 1360 1801">User</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="440 1801 954 1860">Enable/disable [<i>session timeout</i>]</td> <td data-bbox="954 1801 1156 1860">X</td> <td data-bbox="1156 1801 1360 1860"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Management Function	Administrator	User	Enable/disable [ <i>session timeout</i> ]	X	
Management Function	Administrator	User					
Enable/disable [ <i>session timeout</i> ]	X						

TOE SFRs	Rationale			
	Configure [ <u>session</u> ] inactivity timeout	X		
	Configure local audit storage capacity	X		
	Configure minimum password Length	X		
	Configure minimum number of special characters in password	X		
	Configure minimum number of numeric characters in password	X		
	Configure minimum number of uppercase characters in password	X		
	Configure minimum number of lowercase characters in password	X		
	Configure lockout policy for unsuccessful authentication attempts through [ <u>limiting number of attempts during a time period</u> ]	X		
	Configure host-based firewall	X		
	Configure name/address of directory server with which to bind			
	Configure name/address of remote management server from which to receive management settings			
	Configure name/address of audit/logging server to which to send audit/logging records			
	Configure audit rules	X		
	Configure name/address of network time server	X		
	Enable/disable automatic software update	X		
	Configure WiFi interface			
	Enable/disable Bluetooth interface			
	Enable/disable [ <i>no other devices</i> ]	X		
No other management functions	X			

TOE SFRs	Rationale
FPT_ACF_EXT.1	<p>The OS implements access control to the following security relevant data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• /lib/modules: contains Kernel modules and device drivers</li> <li>• /var/log/audit: contains audit data</li> <li>• /lib, /lib64, /usr/lib and /usr/lib64 contains shared libraries</li> <li>• /bin, /sbin, /usr/bin, and /usr/sbin contains system executables.</li> <li>• /etc: contains system configuration files.</li> </ul> <p>This access control prohibits unprivileged users from reading security audit logs and system-wide credential repositories.</p>
FPT_ASLR_EXT.1	<p>The TOE always randomizes process address memory locations with 32 bits of entropy except for the Linux kernel, non-Position-Independent-Executable applications, non-Position-Independent-Code shared libraries.</p>
FPT_SBOP_EXT.1	<p>The OS implements stack-based buffer overflow protections.</p> <p>The following list of libraries were not compiled with stack-based protections and rationale why the protections are not required:</p> <p>The following are kernel-uek modules that are hand-written assembler.  /usr/lib/modules/4.14.35-2025.401.4.el7uek.x86_64/vdso/vdso32.so  /usr/lib/modules/4.14.35-2025.401.4.el7uek.x86_64/vdso/vdso64.so</p> <p>The following are kernel-uek modules that are hand-written assembler.  /lib/modules/4.14.35-2025.401.4.el7uek.x86_64/vdso/vdso32.so  /lib/modules/4.14.35-2025.401.4.el7uek.x86_64/vdso/vdso64.so</p> <p>The following libraries come from coreutils package. None of them have an array on the stack so there is no possible overflow.  /usr/libexec/coreutils/libstdbuf.so</p> <p>The following libraries come from dbus-glib package. Package built with -fstack-protector compiler option. The functions do not have an array on the stack so they do not need stack protection.  /usr/lib64/libdbus-glib-1.so.2.2.2</p> <p>The following libraries come from ebttables package. The libraries are small with a few functions. The functions use pointers and integers. There is no need for stack smashing protection.  /usr/lib64/ebttables/libebtable_broute.so  /usr/lib64/ebttables/libebtable_filter.so  /usr/lib64/ebttables/libebtable_nat.so  /usr/lib64/ebttables/libebt_arpreply.so</p>

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	<p data-bbox="456 237 927 369">           /usr/lib64/ebtables/libebt_AUDIT.so            /usr/lib64/ebtables/libebt_nat.so            /usr/lib64/ebtables/libebt_redirect.so            /usr/lib64/ebtables/libebt_standard.so         </p> <p data-bbox="440 411 1427 510">           The following libraries come from gdbm package. Package built with -fstack-protector compiler option. The functions do not have an array on the stack so they do not need stack protection.         </p> <p data-bbox="456 552 886 621">           /usr/lib64/libgdbm.so.4.0.0            /usr/lib64/libgdbm_compat.so.4.0.0         </p> <p data-bbox="440 663 1427 720">           The following libraries come from glib2 package. The library only has a couple functions, none of which need stack protection.         </p> <p data-bbox="456 730 902 762">           /usr/lib64/libgthread-2.0.so.0.5600.1         </p> <p data-bbox="440 804 1016 835">           The following libraries come from glibc package.         </p> <p data-bbox="456 877 1092 909">           The following are from glibc which has special needs:         </p> <p data-bbox="456 909 756 978">           /usr/lib64/ld-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libutil-2.17.so         </p> <p data-bbox="456 1020 1239 1052">           the following are data tables for character set conversion in glibc:         </p> <p data-bbox="456 1052 862 1896">           /usr/lib64/gconv/ANSI_X3.110.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/ARMScii-8.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/ASMO_449.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/BIG5HKSCS.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/BIG5.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/BRF.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP10007.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP1125.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP1250.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP1251.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP1252.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP1253.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP1254.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP1255.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP1256.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP1257.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP1258.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP737.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP770.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP771.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP772.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP773.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP774.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/CP775.so         </p>

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	/usr/lib64/gconv/CP932.so /usr/lib64/gconv/CSN_369103.so /usr/lib64/gconv/CWI.so /usr/lib64/gconv/DEC-MCS.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-AT-DE-A.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-AT-DE.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-CA-FR.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-DK-NO-A.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-DK-NO.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-ES-A.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-ES.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-ES-S.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-FI-SE-A.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-FI-SE.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-FR.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-IS-FRISS.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-IT.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-PT.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-UK.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EBCDIC-US.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ECMA-CYRILLIC.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EUC-CN.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EUC-JISX0213.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EUC-JP-MS.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EUC-JP.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EUC-KR.so /usr/lib64/gconv/EUC-TW.so /usr/lib64/gconv/GB18030.so /usr/lib64/gconv/GBBIG5.so /usr/lib64/gconv/GBGBK.so /usr/lib64/gconv/GBK.so /usr/lib64/gconv/GEORGIAN-ACADEMY.so /usr/lib64/gconv/GEORGIAN-PS.so /usr/lib64/gconv/GOST_19768-74.so /usr/lib64/gconv/GREEK7-OLD.so /usr/lib64/gconv/GREEK7.so /usr/lib64/gconv/GREEK-CCITT.so /usr/lib64/gconv/HP-GREEK8.so /usr/lib64/gconv/HP-ROMAN8.so /usr/lib64/gconv/HP-ROMAN9.so /usr/lib64/gconv/HP-THAI8.so /usr/lib64/gconv/HP-TURKISH8.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM037.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM038.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1004.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1008_420.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1008.so

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	/usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1025.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1026.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1046.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1047.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1097.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1112.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1122.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1123.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1124.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1129.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1130.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1132.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1133.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1137.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1140.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1141.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1142.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1143.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1144.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1145.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1146.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1147.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1148.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1149.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1153.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1154.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1155.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1156.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1157.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1158.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1160.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1161.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1162.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1163.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1164.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1166.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1167.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM12712.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1364.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1371.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1388.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1390.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM1399.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM16804.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM256.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM273.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM274.so



TOE SFRs	Rationale
	/usr/lib64/gconv/IBM275.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM277.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM278.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM280.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM281.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM284.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM285.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM290.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM297.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM420.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM423.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM424.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM437.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM4517.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM4899.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM4909.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM4971.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM500.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM5347.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM803.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM850.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM851.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM852.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM855.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM856.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM857.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM860.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM861.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM862.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM863.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM864.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM865.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM866NAV.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM866.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM868.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM869.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM870.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM871.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM874.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM875.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM880.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM891.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM901.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM902.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM9030.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM903.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM904.so

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	/usr/lib64/gconv/IBM905.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM9066.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM918.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM921.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM922.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM930.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM932.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM933.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM935.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM937.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM939.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM943.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IBM9448.so /usr/lib64/gconv/IEC_P27-1.so /usr/lib64/gconv/INIS-8.so /usr/lib64/gconv/INIS-CYRILLIC.so /usr/lib64/gconv/INIS.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISIRI-3342.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO_10367-BOX.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO_11548-1.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO-2022-CN-EXT.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO-2022-CN.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO-2022-JP-3.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO-2022-JP.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO-2022-KR.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO_2033.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO_5427-EXT.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO_5427.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO_5428.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO646.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO_6937-2.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO_6937.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-10.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-11.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-13.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-14.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-15.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-16.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-1.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-2.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-3.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-4.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-5.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-6.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-7.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-8.so /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-9E.so

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	<p>           /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO8859-9.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO-IR-197.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/ISO-IR-209.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/JOHAB.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/KOI8-R.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/KOI8-RU.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/KOI-8.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/KOI8-T.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/KOI8-U.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/LATIN-GREEK-1.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/LATIN-GREEK.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/libCNS.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/libGB.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/libISOIR165.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/libJIS.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/libJISX0213.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/libKSC.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/MAC-CENTRALEUROPE.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/MACINTOSH.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/MAC-IS.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/MAC-SAMI.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/MAC-UK.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/MIK.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/NATS-DANO.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/NATS-SEFI.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/PT154.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/RK1048.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/SAMI-WS2.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/SHIFT_JISX0213.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/SJIS.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/T.61.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/TCVN5712-1.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/TIS-620.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/TSCII.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/UHC.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/UNICODE.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/UTF-16.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/UTF-32.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/UTF-7.so            /usr/lib64/gconv/VISCII.so         </p> <p>           The following are from glibc which has special needs or has small functions that need no stack protection         </p> <p>           /usr/lib64/libBrokenLocale-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libSegFault.so            /usr/lib64/libanl-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libcidsn-2.17.so         </p>

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	<p data-bbox="456 235 860 831">           /usr/lib64/libcrypt-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libdl-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libm-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libmemusage.so            /usr/lib64/libnsl-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libnss_compat-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libnss_db-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libnss_files-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libnss_hesiod-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libnss_nis-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libnss_nisplus-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libpcprofile.so            /usr/lib64/libpthread-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/librt-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/libthread_db-1.0.so            /usr/lib64/rtkaio/librtkaio-2.17.so            /usr/lib64/audit/sotruss-lib.so         </p> <p data-bbox="440 873 1404 936">           The following libraries come from iptables package. The functions are simple and don't need stack protection.         </p> <p data-bbox="456 947 941 1898">           /usr/lib64/libiptc.so.0.0.0            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_ah.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_DNAT.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_DNPT.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_eui64.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_frag.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_hl.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_HL.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_ipv6header.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_LOG.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_REJECT.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_rt.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_SNAT.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libip6t_SNPT.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libipt_ah.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libipt_CLUSTERIP.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libipt_ECN.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libipt_LOG.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libipt_MIRROR.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libipt_REJECT.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libipt_ttl.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libipt_TTL.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libipt_ULOG.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libipt_unclean.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_addrtype.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_AUDIT.so            /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_cgroup.so         </p>

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	/usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_CHECKSUM.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_cluster.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_comment.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_connbytes.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_connlabel.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_connlimit.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_connmark.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_CONNMARK.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_CONNSECMARK.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_cpu.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_dccp.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_dscp.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_DSCP.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_ecn.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_esp.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_helper.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_HMARK.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_IDLETIMER.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_LED.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_length.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_limit.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_mac.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_mark.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_MARK.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_multiport.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_nfacct.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_NFLOG.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_NFQUEUE.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_osf.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_physdev.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_pkttype.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_policy.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_quota.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_recent.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_rpfiler.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_sctp.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_SECMARK.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_socket.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_standard.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_statistic.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_SYNPROXY.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_tcpmss.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_TCPMSS.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_TEE.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_tos.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_TOS.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_TPROXY.so

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	<p data-bbox="456 235 862 296">/usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_TRACE.so /usr/lib64/xtables/libxt_udp.so</p> <p data-bbox="440 342 1406 436">The following libraries come from json-c package. The library is an empty dummy library from libjson-c who's whole purpose is to warn to link against libjson-c instead.</p> <p data-bbox="456 447 769 478">/usr/lib64/libjson.so.0.1.0</p> <p data-bbox="440 520 1419 615">The following libraries come from kernel-tools-libs package. built under the kernel build policy. The kernel build policy does not use stack protection due to mixing with hand written assembler.</p> <p data-bbox="456 625 841 657">/usr/lib64/libcpupower.so.0.0.0</p> <p data-bbox="440 699 1378 793">The following libraries come from libaio package. The functions are a thin layer over the io_ family of syscalls. They are just for compatibility should the ABI change. They don't need stack protection.</p> <p data-bbox="456 804 756 835">/usr/lib64/libaio.so.1.0.0</p> <p data-bbox="456 846 756 877">/usr/lib64/libaio.so.1.0.1</p> <p data-bbox="440 919 1317 951">The following libraries come from libgcc package which has special needs.</p> <p data-bbox="456 951 935 982">/usr/lib64/libgcc_s-4.8.5-20150702.so.1</p> <p data-bbox="440 1024 1422 1119">The following libraries come from libgpg-error package. Package built with -fstack-protector compiler option. The functions do not have an array on the stack so they do not need stack protection.</p> <p data-bbox="456 1129 847 1161">/usr/lib64/libgpg-error.so.0.10.0</p> <p data-bbox="440 1203 1422 1297">The following libraries come from libmnl package. Package built with -fstack-protector compiler option. The functions do not have an array on the stack so they do not need stack protection.</p> <p data-bbox="456 1308 766 1339">/usr/lib64/libmnl.so.0.1.0</p> <p data-bbox="440 1381 1422 1476">The following libraries come from libutempter package. Package built with -fstack-protector compiler option. The functions do not have an array on the stack so they do not need stack protection.</p> <p data-bbox="456 1486 834 1518">/usr/lib64/libutempter.so.1.1.6</p> <p data-bbox="440 1560 1422 1654">The following libraries come from libverto package. Package built with -fstack-protector compiler option. The functions do not have an array on the stack so they do not need stack protection.</p> <p data-bbox="456 1665 786 1696">/usr/lib64/libverto.so.1.0.0</p> <p data-bbox="440 1738 1411 1801">The following libraries come from mariadb-libs package. It has one function and it has no stack variables.</p> <p data-bbox="456 1812 1062 1843">/usr/lib64/mysql/plugin/mysql_clear_password.so</p> <p data-bbox="440 1875 1390 1906">The following libraries come from ncurses-libs package contain simple functions</p>

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	<p>that need no stack protection.          /usr/lib64/libpanel.so.5.9          /usr/lib64/libpanelw.so.5.9</p> <p>The following libraries come from nspr package which has simple functions that don't need stack protection.          /usr/lib64/libplc4.so</p> <p>The following libraries come from openssl-libs package. They contain functions that are integers and pointers. One function has an array but its the only variable and one operation is performed on it, so it doesn't qualify for stack protection. The gmp library is a dummy library with 2 functions, neither have stack variables.          /usr/lib64/openssl/engines/libcapi.so          /usr/lib64/openssl/engines/libgmp.so</p> <p>The following libraries come from pam package, have simple functions that don't need stack protection.          /usr/lib64/security/pam_deny.so          /usr/lib64/security/pam_postgresok.so</p> <p>The following libraries come from plymouth package. which is the splash screen that is displayed during boot and before anyone can login. The functions in the libraries are entirely pointers and integers. They do not need stack protection.          /usr/lib64/plymouth/details.so          /usr/lib64/plymouth/text.so</p> <p>The following libraries come from python-gudev package. Package built with -fstack-protector compiler option. The functions do not have an array on the stack so they do not need stack protection.          /usr/lib64/python2.7/site-packages/gudev.so</p> <p>The following libraries come from python-libs package. Library functions are just a "C" interface to allow python to manipulate struct timeval data. The functions are simple and don't need stack protection.          /usr/lib64/python2.7/lib-dynload/timingmodule.so</p> <p>The following libraries come from rpm-python package. They are python modules that only have 4 function. None of which have arrays on the stack so no overflow is possible.          /usr/lib64/python2.7/site-packages/rpm/_rpm.so          /usr/lib64/python2.7/site-packages/rpm/_rpms.so</p> <p>The following libraries come from yum-metadata-parser package. Package built with -fstack-protector compiler option. The functions do not have an array on the stack so they do not need stack protection.          /usr/lib64/python2.7/site-packages/_sqlitecache.so</p>

TOE SFRs	Rationale
FPT_TST_EXT.1	<p>When the OS boots, it performs the following operations:</p> <p>The computer's BIOS performs a power-on self-test (POST), and then locates and initializes any peripheral devices including the hard disk.</p> <p>The BIOS reads the Master Boot Record (MBR) into memory from the boot device. (For GUID Partition Table (GPT) disks, this MBR is the protective MBR on the first sector of the disk.) The MBR stores information about the organization of partitions on that device. On a computer with x86 architecture, the MBR occupies the first 512 bytes of the boot device. The first 446 bytes contain boot code that points to the boot loader program, which can be on the same device or on another device. The next 64 bytes contain the partition table. The final two bytes are the boot signature, which is used for error detection.</p> <p>The default boot loader program used on Oracle Linux is GRUB 2, which stands for Grand Unified Bootloader version 2. When Secure Boot is used there are two stages of bootloaders. The first stage bootloader starts and verifies the keys for GRUB2. Once the keys are verified GRUB2 is loaded.</p> <p>The boot loader loads the vmlinuz kernel image file into memory and extracts the contents of the initramfs image file into a temporary, memory-based file system (tmpfs).</p> <p>The kernel loads the driver modules from the initramfs file system that are needed to access the root file system.</p> <p>The kernel starts the systemd process with a process ID of 1 (PID 1). systemd is the ancestor of all processes on a system. systemd reads its configuration from files in the /etc/systemd directory. The /etc/systemd/system.conf file controls how systemd handles system initialization. During this process systemd mounts file systems, saves entropy, and starts system logging, sshd, and cron daemons.</p> <p>As a final step, the kernel executes /sbin/init.</p> <p>The OS uses Unified Extensible Firmware Interface (UEFI) Secure Boot technology to ensure the system firmware checks whether the system boot loader is signed with an authorized cryptographic key.</p> <p>The first-stage boot loader, shim.efi, is signed by a UEFI private key and authenticated by a public key, signed by a certificate authority (CA), stored in the firmware database. This boot loader also contains the Oracle public key, which is used to authenticate the GRUB 2 boot loader and the Oracle kernel. The kernel contains public keys to authenticate drivers and modules.</p> <p>Kernel Boot process</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The kernel will carry out the following actions as part of the boot process:</li> </ul>



TOE SFRs	Rationale
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Setup functions will be initialized and configure the hardware devices, then the kernel will be loaded into memory function.</li> <li>• Memory management will be initialized.</li> <li>• Kernel mode stack for process 0 is set.</li> <li>• The provisional Page Tables paging will be enabled.</li> <li>• Exception handlers would be set.</li> </ul> <p>The kernel will then complete the kernel initialization by initializing Page Tables, Memory Handling Data Structures, the SLUB allocator, system date, and system time.</p> <p>Once the kernel boot process is complete, the user space would be started up. The root file must be available along with the loading of applications and daemons. All other setup and configuration process to get the system operational would be carried out.</p> <p>The software is cryptographically verified (integrity tested) using HMAC-SHA-256. The HMAC value is computed at build time and stored in the hmac file. The value is recalculated at runtime and compared against the stored value. If the comparison succeeds, then the remaining power-up self-test (consisting of the algorithm-specific Known Answer Tests) are performed. On successful completion of the power-up tests, the module becomes operational and crypto services are available. If any of the tests fails module transitions to error state and subsequent calls to the Module will fail - thus no further cryptographic operations will be possible.</p>
FPT_TUD_EXT.1 FPT_TUD_EXT.2	<p>The TOE software is delivered and installed using Red Hat Packages (RPMs).</p> <p>An Oracle certificate is used to verify the RPM during installation of an RPM. The Oracle certificate is installed on the system at the time of installation. The TOE leverages 2048 bit RSA digital signature mechanism for signing and verification of packages/updates. SHA-256 used for integrity verification. If the signature verification is successful, then the RPM package is installed. Otherwise it fails the installation. The administrator must download the RPM from the Oracle download center.</p> <p>To obtain updates, the OS pulls the latest update lists from Oracle servers nightly and either installs new RPMs automatically or informs the administrator about the presence of update RPMs, depending on the system configuration. The installation of these updates follows the signature verification procedure discussed above.</p>
FTP_ITC_EXT.1	<p>The TOE supports TLS v1.2 and SSH v2 for trusted channel implementation. Further details on the implementation of these protocols is provided in FCS_TLSC_EXT.1 and FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.</p>
FTP_TRP.1	<p>TOE supports remote CLI using SSH v2 for secure remote administration.</p> <p>Administration via the local console is also supported. This access is logically distinct from other communication paths and is authenticated by the user prior to</p>

TOE SFRs	Rationale
	<p>access being granted to administrate the OS. Data is protected from modification and disclosure through physical security.</p> <p>Local and remote access to the trusted path is initiated by the user or TSF. No other methods to administer the TOE are available.</p>

**Table 18 TOE Summary Specification SFR Description**

## 8 Annex A: References

Identifiers	Descriptions
[CC_PART1]	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation – Part 1: Introduction and general model, dated April 2017, version 3.1, Revision 5, CCMB-2017-04-001
[CC_PART2]	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation – Part 2: Security functional components, dated April 2017, version 3.1, Revision 5, CCMB-2017-04-002
[CC_PART3]	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation – Part 3: Security assurance components, dated April 2017, version 3.1, Revision 5, CCMB-2017-04-003
[CEM]	Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation – Evaluation Methodology, dated September 2012, version 3.1, Revision 5, CCMB-2017-04-004
[800-38A]	NIST Special Publication 800-38A Recommendation for Block 2001 Edition Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation Methods and Techniques December 2001
[800-56A]	NIST Special Publication 800-56A Rev 2, May 2013
[800-56B]	NIST Special Publication 800-56B Recommendation for Pair-Wise, August 2009
[800-38A]	[NIST Special Publication 800-38A Recommendation for Block 2001 Edition Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation Methods and Techniques December 2001
[800-38D]	NIST Special Publication 800-38D Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: Galois/Counter Mode (GCM) and GMAC, November 2007.

Table 19 Annex A: References

## 9 Annex B - Extended Security Functional Components

Requirements	Descriptions
FCS_CKM_EXT.4	Cryptographic Key Destruction
FCS_RBG_EXT.1	Random Bit Generation
FCS_STO_EXT.1	Storage of Sensitive Data
FCS_TLSC_EXT.1	TLS Client Protocol
FCS_TLSC_EXT.2	TLS Client Curves Allowed
FCS_SSH_EXT.1	SSH Protocol
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1	SSH Protocol - Client
FCS_SSHS_EXT.1	SSH Protocol - Server
FDP_IFC_EXT.1	Information flow control
FDP_ACF_EXT.1	Access Controls for Protecting User Data
FIA_X509_EXT.1	X.509 Certificate Validation
FIA_X509_EXT.2	X.509 Certificate Authentication
FMT_MOF_EXT.1	Management of security functions behavior
FMT_SMF_EXT.1	Specification of Management Functions
FPT_ACF_EXT.1	Access controls
FPT_ASLR_EXT.1	Address Space Layout Randomization
FPT_SBOP_EXT.1	Stack Buffer Overflow Protection
FPT_TST_EXT.1	Boot Integrity
FPT_TUD_EXT.1	Trusted Update
FPT_TUD_EXT.2	Trusted Update for Application Software
FPT_ITC_EXT.1	Trusted channel communication

Table 20 Extended Security Functional Components

### 9.1 Cryptographic Support (FCS)

#### 9.1.1 FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4 Cryptographic Key Destruction

**FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4.1** The OS shall destroy cryptographic keys and key material in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method [selection:

- For volatile memory, the destruction shall be executed by a [selection:
  - single overwrite consisting of [selection: a pseudo-random pattern using the TSF's RBG, zeroes, ones, a new value of a key, [assignment: any value that does not contain any CSP]],
  - removal of power to the memory,
  - destruction of reference to the key directly followed by a request for garbage collection

],

- For non-volatile memory that consists of [selection:
  - **destruction of all key encrypting keys protecting the target key according to FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4.1, where none of the KEKs protecting the target key are derived**

- *the invocation of an interface provided by the underlying platform that [selection:*
  - *logically addresses the storage location of the key and performs a [selection: single, [assignment: ST author defined multi-pass]] overwrite consisting of [selection: zeroes, ones, pseudo-random pattern, a new value of a key of the same size, [assignment: any value that does not contain any CSP]],*
  - *instructs the underlying platform to destroy the abstraction that represents the key]*

]

].

FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4.2 The OS shall destroy all keys and key material when no longer needed.

*NOTE: TD0365 has been applied.*

### 9.1.2 FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1 Random Bit Generation

FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1.1 The OS shall perform all deterministic random bit generation (DRBG) services in accordance with NIST Special Publication 800-90A using [selection:

- *Hash\_DRBG (any),*
- *HMAC\_DRBG (any),*
- *CTR\_DRBG (AES)*

].

FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1.2 The deterministic RBG used by the OS shall be seeded by an entropy source that accumulates entropy from a [selection:

- *software-based noise source,*
- *platform-based noise source*

] with a minimum of [selection:

- *128 bits,*
- *256 bits*

] of entropy at least equal to the greatest security strength (according to NIST SP 800-57) of the keys and hashes that it will generate.

### 9.1.3 FCS\_STO\_EXT.1 Storage of Sensitive Data

FCS\_STO\_EXT.1 The OS shall implement functionality to encrypt sensitive data stored in non-volatile storage and provide interfaces to applications to invoke this functionality.

#### 9.1.4 FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1 TLS Client Protocol

**FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall implement TLS 1.2 (RFC 5246) supporting the following cipher suites:

[**selection:**

- *TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA as defined in RFC 5246 ,*
- *TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA as defined in RFC 5246,*
- *TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246,*
- *TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246,*
- *TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5288,*
- *TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384 as defined in RFC 5288,*
- *TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246 ,*
- *TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246 ,*
- *TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5288,*
- *TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384 as defined in RFC 5288 ,*
- *TLS\_ECDHE\_ECDSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5289,*
- *TLS\_ECDHE\_ECDSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5289,*
- *TLS\_ECDHE\_ECDSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA384 as defined in RFC 5289,*
- *TLS\_ECDHE\_ECDSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384 as defined in RFC 5289,*
- *TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5289 ,*
- *TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5289 ,*
- *TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA384 as defined in RFC 5289 ,*
- *TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384 as defined in RFC 5289*

].

**FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1.2** The OS shall verify that the presented identifier matches the reference identifier according to RFC 6125.

**FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1.3** The OS shall only establish a trusted channel if the peer certificate is valid.

#### 9.1.5 FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.2 TLS Client Protocol

**FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.2.1** The OS shall present the Supported Groups Extension in the Client Hello with the following supported groups: [**selection:** *secp256r1, secp384r1, secp521r1*].

#### 9.1.6 FCS\_SSH\_EXT.1 SSH Protocol

**FCS\_SSH\_EXT.1.1** The SSH software shall implement the SSH protocol that complies with RFCs 4251, 4252, 4253, 4254 and [**selection:** *5647, 5656, 6187, 6668, no other RFCs*] as a [**selection:** *client, server*]

#### 9.1.7 FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1 SSH Protocol - Client

**FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.1** The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH protocol implementation supports the following authentication methods as described in RFC 4252: public key-based, and [**selection:** *password-based, none*].

- FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.2** The SSH client shall ensure that, as described in RFC 4253, packets greater than [assignment: *number of bytes*] bytes in an SSH transport connection are dropped.
- FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.3** The SSH software shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses the following encryption algorithms and rejects all other encryption algorithms: aes128-ctr, aes256-ctr, [selection: *aes128-cbc, aes256-cbc, AEAD\_AES\_128\_GCM, AEAD\_AES\_256\_GCM, no other algorithms*].
- FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.4** The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses [selection: *ssh-rsa, rsa-sha2-256, rsa-sha2-512, ecdsa-sha2-nistp256*] and [selection: *ecdsa-sha2-nistp384, x509v3-ecdsa-sha2-nistp256, x509v3-ecdsa-sha2-nistp384, no other public key algorithms*] as its public key algorithm(s) and rejects all other public key algorithms.

NOTE: TD0332 has been applied.

- FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.5** The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses [selection: *hmac-sha1, hmac-sha1-96, hmac-sha2-256, hmac-sha2-512*] and [selection: *AEAD\_AES\_128\_GCM, AEAD\_AES\_256\_GCM, no other MAC algorithms*] as its data integrity MAC algorithm(s) and rejects all other MAC algorithm(s).
- FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.6** The SSH client shall ensure that [selection: *diffie-hellman-group14-sha1, ecdh-sha2-nistp256*] and [selection: *ecdh-sha2-nistp384, ecdh-sha2-nistp521, no other methods*] are the only allowed key exchange methods used for the SSH protocol.
- FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.7** The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH connection be rekeyed after [selection: *no more than 2<sup>28</sup> packets have been transmitted, no more than 1 Gigabyte of data has been transmitted, no more than 1 hour*] using that key.
- FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.8** The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH client authenticates the identity of the SSH server using a local database associating each host name with its corresponding public key or [selection: *a list of trusted certification authorities, no other methods*] as described in RFC 4251 section 4.1.

#### 9.1.8 FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1 SSH Protocol - Server

- FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.1** The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH protocol implementation supports the following authentication methods as described in RFC 4252: public key-based, and [selection: *password-based, none*].
- FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.2** The SSH server shall ensure that, as described in RFC 4253, packets greater than [assignment: *number of bytes*] bytes in an SSH transport connection are dropped.

- FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.3** The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses the following encryption algorithms and rejects all other encryption algorithms: *aes128-ctr, aes256-ctr, [selection: aes128-cbc, aes256-cbc, AEAD\_AES\_128\_GCM, AEAD\_AES\_256\_GCM, no other algorithms]*.
- FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.4** The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses [selection: *ssh-rsa, rsa-sha2-256, rsa-sha2-512, ecdsa-sha2-nistp256*] and [selection: *ecdsa-sha2-nistp384, x509v3-ecdsa-sha2-nistp256, x509v3-ecdsa-sha2-nistp384, no other public key algorithms*] as its public key algorithm(s) and rejects all other public key algorithms.

*NOTE: TD0332 has been applied.*

- FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.5** The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses [selection: *hmac-sha1, hmac-sha1-96, hmac-sha2-256, hmac-sha2-512*] and [selection: *AEAD\_AES\_128\_GCM, AEAD\_AES\_256\_GCM, no other MAC algorithms*] as its MAC algorithm(s) and rejects all other MAC algorithm(s).
- FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.6** The SSH server shall ensure that [selection: *diffie-hellman-group14-sha1, ecdh-sha2-nistp256*] and [selection: *ecdh-sha2-nistp384, ecdh-sha2-nistp521, no other methods*] are the only allowed key exchange methods used for the SSH protocol.
- FCS\_SSHS\_EXT.1.7** The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH connection be rekeyed after [selection: *no more than 2<sup>28</sup> packets have been transmitted, no more than 1 Gigabyte of data has been transmitted, no more than 1 hour*] using that key.

## **9.2 User Data Protection (FDP)**

### **9.2.1 FDP\_IFC\_EXT.1 Information flow control**

- FDP\_IFC\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall [selection:
- *provide an interface which allows a VPN client to protect all IP traffic using IPsec,*
  - *provide a VPN client which can protects all IP traffic using IPsec*
- ] with the exception of IP traffic required to establish the VPN connection and [selection: *signed updates directly from the OS vendor, no other traffic*].

### **9.2.2 FDP\_ACF\_EXT.1 Access Controls for Protecting User Data**

- FDP\_ACF\_EXT.1** The OS shall implement access controls which can prohibit unprivileged users from accessing files and directories owned by other users.

## **9.3 Identification and Authentication (FIA)**

### **9.3.1 FIA\_X509\_EXT.1 X.509 Certificate Validation**

- FIA\_X509\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall implement functionality to validate certificates in accordance with the following rules:



- RFC 5280 certificate validation and certificate path validation
- The certificate path must terminate with a trusted CA certificate
- The OS shall validate a certificate path by ensuring the presence of the basicConstraints extension, that the CA flag is set to TRUE for all CA certificates, and that any path constraints are met.
- The TSF shall validate that any CA certificate includes caSigning purpose in the key usage field
- The OS shall validate the revocation status of the certificate using [selection: OCSP as specified in RFC 6960, CRL as specified in RFC 5759, an OCSP TLS Status Request Extension (OCSP stapling) as specified in RFC 6066, OCSP TLS Multi-Certificate Status Request Extension (i.e., OCSP Multi-Stapling) as specified in RFC 6961]
- The OS shall validate the extendedKeyUsage field according to the following rules:
  - Certificates used for trusted updates and executable code integrity verification shall have the Code Signing Purpose (id-kp 3 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.3) in the extendedKeyUsage field.
  - Server certificates presented for TLS shall have the Server Authentication purpose (id-kp 1 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.1) in the extendedKeyUsage field.
  - Client certificates presented for TLS shall have the Client Authentication purpose (id-kp 2 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.2) in the ECU field.
  - S/MIME certificates presented for email encryption and signature shall have the Email Protection purpose (id-kp 4 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.4) in the ECU field.
  - OCSP certificates presented for OCSP responses shall have the OCSP Signing Purpose (id-kp 9 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.9) in the ECU field.
  - Server certificates presented for EST shall have the CMC Registration Authority (RA) purpose (id-kp-cmcRA with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.28) in the ECU field. (conditional)

**FIA\_X509\_EXT.1.2** The OS shall only treat a certificate as a CA certificate if the *basicConstraints* extension is present and the CA flag is set to TRUE.

### 9.3.2 FIA\_X509\_EXT.2 X.509 Certificate Authentication

**FIA\_X509\_EXT.2.1** The OS shall use X.509v3 certificates as defined by RFC 5280 to support authentication for TLS and [selection: *DTLS, HTTPS*, [assignment: *other protocols*], no other protocols] connections.

## 9.4 Security Management (FMT)

### 9.4.1 FMT\_MOF\_EXT.1 Management of security functions behavior

**FMT\_MOF\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall restrict the ability to perform the function indicated in the "Administrator" column in FMT\_SMF\_EXT.1.1 to the administrator.

### 9.4.2 FMT\_SMF\_EXT.1 Specification of Management Functions

**FMT\_SMF\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall be capable of performing the following management functions:

Management Function	Administrator	User
Enable/disable [selection: <i>screen lock, session timeout</i> ]	X	O

Configure [ <b>selection:</b> <i>screen lock, session</i> ] inactivity timeout	X	0
Configure local audit storage capacity	0	0
Configure minimum password length	0	0
Configure minimum number of special characters in password	0	0
Configure minimum number of numeric characters in password	0	0
Configure minimum number of uppercase characters in password	0	0
Configure minimum number of lowercase characters in password	0	0
Configure lockout policy for unsuccessful authentication attempts through [ <b>selection:</b> <i>timeouts between attempts, limiting number of attempts during a time period</i> ]	0	0
Configure host-based firewall	0	0
Configure name/address of directory server with which to bind	0	0
Configure name/address of remote management server from which to receive management settings	0	0
Configure name/address of audit/logging server to which to send audit/logging records	0	0
Configure audit rules	0	0
Configure name/address of network time server	0	0
Enable/disable automatic software update	0	0
Configure WiFi interface	0	0
Enable/disable Bluetooth interface	0	0
Enable/disable [ <b>assignment:</b> <i>list of other external interfaces</i> ]	0	0
[ <b>assignment:</b> <i>list of other management functions to be provided by the TSF</i> ]	0	0

## 9.5 Protection of the TSF (FPT)

### 9.5.1 FPT\_ACF\_EXT.1 Access controls

**FPT\_ACF\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall implement access controls which prohibit unprivileged users from modifying:

- Kernel and its drivers/modules

- Security audit logs
- Shared libraries
- System executables
- System configuration files
- **[assignment: other objects]**

**FPT\_ACF\_EXT.1.2** The OS shall implement access controls which prohibit unprivileged users from reading:

- Security audit logs
- System-wide credential repositories
- **[assignment: list of other objects]**

### 9.5.2 FPT\_ASLR\_EXT.1 Address Space Layout Randomization

**FPT\_ASLR\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall always randomize process address space memory locations with [selection: 8, *[assignment: number greater than 8]*] bits of entropy except for [assignment: *list of explicit exceptions*].

### 9.5.3 FPT\_SBOP\_EXT.1 Stack Buffer Overflow Protection

**FPT\_SBOP\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall **[selection: employ stack-based buffer overflow protections, not store parameters/variables in the same data structures as control flow values]**.

## 9.6 FPT\_TST\_EXT.1 Boot Integrity

**FPT\_TST\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall verify the integrity of the bootchain up through the OS kernel and **[selection:**

- *all executable code stored in mutable media,*
- **[assignment: list of other executable code],**
- *no other executable code*

**] prior to its execution through the use of [selection:**

- *a digital signature using a hardware-protected asymmetric key,*
- *a hardware-protected hash*

**].**

### 9.6.1 FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1 Trusted Update

**FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall provide the ability to check for updates to the OS software itself.

**FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1.2** The OS shall [**selection:** cryptographically verify, invoke platform-provided functionality to cryptographically verify] updates to itself using a digital signature prior to installation using schemes specified in FCS\_COP.1(3).

**NOTE: TD0386 has been applied.**

### 9.6.2 FPT\_TUD\_EXT.2 Trusted Update for Application Software

**FPT\_TUD\_EXT.2.1** The OS shall provide the ability to check for updates to application software.

**FPT\_TUD\_EXT.2.2** The OS shall [**selection:** cryptographically verify, invoke platform-provided functionality to cryptographically verify] updates to itself using a digital signature prior to installation using schemes specified in FCS\_COP.1(3).

## 9.7 Trusted Path/Channels (FTP)

### 9.7.1 FTP\_ITC\_EXT.1 Trusted channel communication

**FTP\_ITC\_EXT.1.1** The OS shall use [**selection:**

- *TLS as conforming to FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1,*
- *DTLS as conforming to FCS\_DTLS\_EXT.1,*
- *IPsec as conforming to the EP for IPsec VPN Clients,*
- *SSH as conforming to the EP for Secure Shell*

] to provide a trusted communication channel between itself and authorized IT entities supporting the following capabilities: [**selection:** *audit server, authentication server, management server, [assignment: other capabilities]*] that is logically distinct from other communication channels and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from disclosure and detection of modification of the channel data.